Diagnosis and treatment of craniosynostosis: Vilnius team experience
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Background. The aim of the study was to review the methods of diagnosis of craniosynostosis and to analyze Vilnius (Lithuania) team experience of surgical treatment, surgical methods, aspects of anesthesia for patients with craniosynostosis and to present early results of surgical treatment.

Materials and methods. A retrospective review of all patients with various types of craniosynostosis treated surgically during the period from 1 January 2009 to 31 December 2014 was performed. The following data were analyzed: age, type of deformity, surgical technique, surgical time, methods and course of anesthesia, intra- and postoperative complications, parents' satisfaction, head form.

Results. 24 patients were treated. The mean patient’s age at the time of surgery was 13.47 ± 8.2 months (min 7.3, max 46.5). Eliminating 3 patients whose age at the time of surgery was over 2 years (24.5, 29 and 46 months, respectively), the mean age of other 21 patients was 10.63 ± 1.77 (min 7.3, max 14.1) months. There were 9 cases of isolated trigonocephaly (37.5%), 7 cases of isolated scaphocephaly (29.2%), 7 cases of isolated anterior plagiocephaly (29.2%) and 1 case of posterior plagiocephaly combined with scaphocephaly (4.17%). All craniosynostoses were diagnosed clinically and diagnosis was confirmed with computed tomographic scanning. The median duration of surgery was 336.47 ± 59.63 minutes (min 308.13, max 364.82). The medium stay in the intensive care unit was 2.53 ± 1.28 days (min. 1.92, max 3.14). In all cases rigid osteosynthesis was performed. 2 children were diagnosed with syndromic craniofacial abnormalities. In 23 (95.83%) cases an intraoperative or postoperative blood transfusion was required. In 24 treated patients there was no mortality. During the early and late postoperative period no infections, CSF leakage and dural tears were observed. No neurological impairments or any signs of neurological deficits were observed by any of the treated patients. In all of cases parents were satisfied with their children’s changed head shape and aesthetic results.

Conclusions. Cranioplasties for correction of craniosynostosis give good aesthetic results and this is a safe method, which helps to correct the head shape as well as improves the social adaptation of patients.
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INTRODUCTION

Craniosynostosis is the premature closure of one or more of the cranial sutures leading to an abnormal skull shape (1). It occurs within the population with a frequency of 1:2,000 to 1:4,000 live births (2, 3). Abnormal head shapes are therefore produced because of the restricted growth, which occurs perpendicular to the fused sutures and to the compensatory growth in the skull’s unfused bony plates (4). Craniosynostosis results in deformed calvaria at births (5). This deformation usually increases during the first months after births. It is not only esthetic problem – the deformed skull also affects brain growth in the affected direction and in the case of uncorrected condition often leads to decreased mental ability.

The indications for treatment of craniosynostosis skull deformity relate primarily to correcting skull deformity and improving mental function (6).

Although the craniosynostosis is one of the most frequent craniofacial malformations (7), it continues to be a diagnostic and as well therapeutic challenge which requires the cooperation of various medical specialties – general practitioners, pediatricians, neuro- and maxillofacial surgeons, anesthesiologists, radiologists and many other specialists in diagnosis managing and treatment of this disorder.

The optimal time for operative treatment of craniosynostosis still remains a point of discussion although some surgeons tend to operate these patients earlier than 6 months of age, some tend to operate these patients two or three months later. One of the most important factors that can support the optimal treatment is the early recognition/identification of craniosynostotic pathology and referring them to the craniofacial surgeons.

The first modern cranioplasty for correction of craniosynostosis in Lithuania was performed on 18 February 2009 (8). Until this time no craniofacial surgery correcting the fronto-orbital segment was performed in Lithuania.

Until 2009 craniosynostotic surgery was underdeveloped in Lithuania. Only simple decompressing linear osteotomies performed by neurosurgeons were made. This was caused by many factors – lack of craniomaxillofacial surgeons interesting in this field, lack of cooperation between the various medical specialities, lack of modern surgical and anesthesiological equipment. One of the most important factors was also poor or insufficient physician’s knowledge about craniosynostosis. This caused the delayed referring of these patients to the craniofacial specialist. Due to lack of knowledge it was believed that any bigger/modern craniofacial surgery is too aggressive and too dangerous for craniosynostotic children. Patients with craniosynostotic pathology, especially involving the fronto-orbital region, became almost without any professional help/assistance.

The aim of the study was to review the methods of diagnosis of craniosynostosis and to analyze the Vilnius (Lithuania) team experience of surgical treatment, surgical methods, aspects of anesthesia for patients with craniosynostosis and to present early results of surgical treatment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The medical records of patients with craniosynostosis treated surgically during the period from 1 January 2009 to 31 December 2014 were reviewed. During this five-year period 24 patients with various types of craniosynostosis were treated.

A retrospective review of all medical records of patients treated due to craniosynostosis and head deformity was performed. The following data were analyzed: age, type of deformity, surgical technique, surgical time, methods and course of anesthesia, intra-and postoperative complications, parent’s satisfaction, head form.

Diagnosis of craniosynostosis was confirmed after a history, general examination and computed tomographic scanning.

Patients were also investigated during regular follow-up visits at 2, 4 weeks, 3 and 6 months after surgery and later yearly.

RESULTS

During the period from 1 January 2009 to 31 December 2014, twenty four cranioplasties were performed. The amount of surgeries varied from year to year. The first cranioplasty correcting anterior or plagiocephaly was performed on 18 February 2009 (8). During the year 2010 three and in the year 2011 four open cranioplasty surgeries were
done. The number of surgeries was started to grow in the year 2012 and during this year 8 cranioplasties were performed, but in the next year (2013) only 1 cranioplasty surgery was done. In 2014 again 7 patients correcting their craniosynostotic condition were treated. The minimal follow-up period was 6 months, the maximal one was 6 years.

The surgical pathology included various types of head deformations. There were 9 cases of isolated trigonocephaly (37.5%), 7 cases of isolated scaphocephaly (29.2%), 7 cases of isolated anterior plagiocephaly (29.2%) and 1 case of posterior plagiocephaly combined with scaphocephaly (4.17%).

The mean patient's age at the time of surgery was 13.47 ± 8.2 months (min 7.3, max 46.5). Eliminating 3 patients whose age at the time of surgery was over 2 years (24.5, 29 and 46 months, respectively), the mean age of other 21 patients was 10.63 ± 1.77 (min 7.3, max 14.1) months.

The median duration of surgery was 336.47 ± 59.63 minutes (min 308.13, max 364.82). The last surgeries were performed in shorter time than the first surgeries but it was statistically insignificant (p > 0.05).

The medium stay in the intensive care unit was 2.53 ± 1.28 days (min 1.92, max 3.14).

**Surgical technique and anesthesia**

All patients were assessed as ASA 1–2. The patients were premedicated with midazolam 0.25 mg. Normothermia was facilitated by a forced air warmer and an intravenous fluid warmer. The operating room temperature was maintained at 25 ºC. The patients were anesthetized with an inhalation induction with Sevoflurane in oxygen and an air mixture by a mask. After intravenous access was secured, a bolus of fentanyl (1–2 μg·kg⁻¹) and a muscle relaxant (Rocuronium 0.6 mg·kg⁻¹) was administered to facilitate tracheal intubation. After the tracheal intubation the central venous line was inserted under the guidance of ultrasound. Anesthesia was maintained with Sevoflurane in an air/oxygen mixture with co-administration of fentanyl infusion (1–3 μg·kg⁻¹·h⁻¹). During anesthesia, monitoring of ECG, SpO₂, anaesthetic agent, capnography, NIBP, temperature and urine output were performed. Additionally Masimo Rainbow Pulse CO-Oximetry (SpO₂; SpHb; SpOC; SpCO; SpMet: PR; PI; PVI) and NIRS were used in the last three years. Intraoperative blood loss was estimated by the anesthesia and surgical teams.

Intraoperative intravenous fluids were acetate Ringer, normal saline (0.9% sodium chloride) and colloid (Voluven 6%). Intravenous fluids were administered to maintain an adequate intravascular volume based on the heart rate, systolic pressure variation and urine output. Allogenic blood transfusion with packed red blood cells was administered for hemoglobin <70 g/l or >70 g/l at hemodynamic instability and ongoing blood loss. In the last four cases Mannitol (0.5–1 g/kg) was used to reduce intracranial hypertension and cerebral edema on request of surgeons. Additionally, for these patients a tranexamic acid (TXA) bolus (10 mg·kg⁻¹) was administered intravenously about 30 minutes before surgery to reduce intraoperative blood loss. We started another TXA dose of 10 mg·kg⁻¹ IV infusions for 4 hours (2.5 mg·kg⁻¹·h⁻¹) during surgery after patient’s positioning on the operation table.

In the Pediatric Intensive Care Unit the quantity and tonicity of postoperative intravenous fluids (acetate Ringer, 0.9% sodium chloride, 5% or 10% glucose solution), electrolyte correction and allogenic blood transfusion (packed red blood cells) were administered under the direction of the pediatric intensive care specialists. Crystalloids and glucose solutions supplemented with NaCl 10% (53%) and KCl (71%) were used.

Postoperatively three children received red blood cells transfusion (12.5%) additionally and one (4.17%) received Albumin 20%. Postoperative analgesia was provided with morphine.

In all cases of anterior plagiocephaly or trigonocephaly corrections (n = 11), in the supine position the remodeling of the anterior cranial vault and frontoorbital complex and the reconstruction of orbits were applied.

After bicoronal waved or zig-zag incision, the skin and galeal layer was raised. After that the periosteal layer was raised creating a periosteal flap. The frontal bone including the bicornoral sulature is removed in one piece followed by dehiscence and elevation of the supraorbital segment.

In the case of trigonocephaly the supraorbital bandeau was reshaped creating eyebrow prominences and fixed into the new position increasing the interorbital distance and increasing the fronto-temporal angle (Fig. 1).
The frontal bone is cut in the midline and divided into two pieces and remodeled to fit to the new shape of the supraorbital bar leaving both coronal sutures in the parallel position to the supraorbital osteotomy line (9). The fixation of bone fragments was performed using rigid osteosynthesis and some places of bone fragments were fixed using resorbable sutures (2–0 and 3–0 Vicryl).

Correcting the plagiocephalic condition various methods of bone remodelling were applied – reshaping of the frontoorbital bandeau (in all cases), dividing of the frontal bone into two pieces, reshaping of the frontal bone, bone augmentation creating eyebrow prominence in the affected site (Fig. 2).

The corrections of scaphocephalies were performed in the prone or modified prone position. Various surgical techniques were used due to different cranial shape and patient’s age (Figs. 3, 4).

In all cases rigid osteosynthesis was performed: in 11 cases titanium microplates were used, in another 13 cases resorbable plates (12 cases – SonicWeld Rx®, KLS Martin and 1 case – lactosorb Lorenz Biomet) were applied. When osteosynthesis of reshaped cranial bones was performed with titanium microplates (titanium microplates, produced by Stryker Leibinger GmbH & Co.), the second stage surgery for elimination of plates was required. The period between two surgeries varied from 5 to 6 months.

Only two cases were diagnosed as syndrome with craniofacial abnormalities. One child had Chromosome 9, partial monosomy, and other child had Muenke syndrome (FGFR3-related). In 23 of 24 cases (95.83%) an intraoperative or postoperative blood transfusion was required. Estimated blood loss was not routinely recorded due to immeasurable loss into and under surgical drapes.

In 24 patients treated there was no mortality. In one case with severe anterior plagiocephaly, postoperative subcutaneous hematoma in the affected side was developed, but this was successfully treated using only percutaneous puncture. For the same patient intraoperative dural tears occurred, but this was successfully treated during the same surgery.
**Fig. 3.** A. The patient with a severe form of scaphocephaly in the modified prone position. B. Cranialacunae and lines of osteotomy. C, D. After cranial vault remodeling, fixation with resorbable plates.

**Fig. 4.** A. Osteotomy line in the case of scaphocephaly. B. Reshaped cranium, bone fragments fixed with titanium microplates.
During early and late postoperative periods no infections, CSF leakage and dural tears were observed. No neurological impairments or any signs of neurological deficits were observed by any of treated patients.

In all of cases parents were satisfied with children’s changed head shape and aesthetic results.

REVIEW OF DIAGNOSTICAL METHODS AND DISCUSSION

In a typical case the morphological skull changes in each form of craniosynostosis are so specific that the diagnosis is essentially clinical and the difficulty of diagnosing craniosynostosis varies by case (10). In all clinical cases a careful medical history should be taken. Clinical and neurological examination is very important as craniosynostosis can result in raised intracranial pressure (11) and in untreated cases can lead to some neurological deficits or increased irritability (12). This can be seen especially in the cases of untreated scaphocephalies and trigonocephalies. Although in typical cases of craniosynostosis the diagnosis can be made after clinical evaluation, many surgeons tend to confirm diagnosis radiologically, especially in the cases of planned surgical treatment (13). Radiological evaluation is necessary to characterize the deformity, make the correct differential diagnosis and to guide the corrective surgical procedure (14).

Different instrumental methods in the diagnostics of craniosynostosis are or were used.

Historically, plain radiography has served as an initial imaging modality in a child with an abnormal head shape, and it remains a cost-effective method in infants with low risk of craniosynostosis (15). One of its benefits is that the plane radiography can be successfully done without general anesthesia opposite to the magnetic resonance imaging or computed tomography (16). The diagnosis of craniosynostosis is based on the primary (perisutural sclerosis, localized breaking, bony bridging, loss of visualization of the suture) and secondary signs (fingerprinting, beaten copper) visible on standard radiography (17). Also additional signs showing the type of compensatory growth or deformity can be seen in plain radiographs. Anteroposterior and lateral views of the skull are usual (18). It is important to evaluate the entire length of each suture because only a small segment may be involved (19). Furthermore, primary radiographic findings are often unreliable in the first 3 months of life (20). Interpretation of plain radiographs is often challenging due to the low density of the skull in a neonate (21). In the majority of cases, however, evaluation of cranial sutures is inadequate with radiographs alone, so further investigations should be done (22).

Ultrasound is an effective, fast, low-cost, radiation-free method that requires no sedation in the management of children with head deformity (21). This examination is applicable only in cases with open fontanels, however, with a very high quality rating (7). Normal sutures show an uninterrupted hypoechoic gap between suture margins, while synostotic sutures show loss of this hypoechoic space and less specific signs include thickened margins, loss of beveled edges, and asymmetry of the fontanels (23). Several studies showed that ultrasound may be used in the prenatal diagnosis of synostosis (7, 24). Stelnicki et al. showed that standard ultrasonography of the calvarial sutures – in the absence of other craniofacial malformations – may be a feasible method for diagnosing simple, nonsyndromic craniosynostosis in utero but also with some diagnostic errors (7).

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) helps to detect cerebral and craniofacial soft-tissue anomalies seen in association with craniosynostosis, especially of syndromic variety (23). It is an excellent technique for the diagnosis of associated diseases of the cerebrum like, e.g. midline anomalies, lesions of the parenchyma, intracranial herniation and hydrocephalus (7). But in non-syndromic craniosynostosis, only MRI can be indicated in the cases of trigonocephaly because of its association with additional cerebral deformations (23). MRI is not a strong modality for evaluating bony abnormalities and thus cannot be used as the primary method of evaluating craniosynostosis (24).

Conventional Computed Tomography (CT) with three-dimensional (3D) reconstructions is considered the most complete and accurate imaging modality to diagnose craniosynostosis (26). The study of Vannier et al. demonstrated that three-dimensional shaded-surface reconstruction from CT scans is superior to conventional plain radiographs and CT scans in diagnosing craniosynostosis (27). CT with 3D reconstructions yields rich information on the alteration of the
normal skull shape and on the synostosed suture and it is most useful in planning the osteotomy and skull reshaping surgery (28). There are several indications for performing the computed axial tomographic scans. The first is to confirm a clinical impression of craniosynostosis by objectively demonstrating fusion of the suture. The second is to look for coexisting abnormalities, such as Arnold-Chiari malformation, which is frequently seen in Crouzon syndrome. The third is to visualize the extent of craniofacial bony disease (14). Some authors recommend to perform computed tomographic scanning for all patients with craniosynostosis to exclude hydrocephalus and other cranial or cerebral abnormalities (19).

However, with regard to the risk of radiation exposure particularly in young infants, CT scanning and even plain radiography should be indicated extremely carefully (29). Radiation exposure in children can result in greater cancer risk (30). Physicians, CT technologists, and health authorities should work together to minimize the radiation dose for children to as low as reasonably achievable and encourage responsible use of this essential diagnostic tool (31).

Premature fusion of the cranial sutures (craniosynostosis) may be associated with gross cranial or facial deformity, and may also cause serious constriction of the developing brain (craniostenosis), eyes (orbitostenosis) and facial viscera (faciostenosis) (32).

The main goal of the treatment of craniosynostosis is to restore normal appearance of the skull and increase the cranial volume so that the growing brain can be accommodated without any pressure effects on vital structures. The aim of surgical intervention is to excise the prematurely fused suture and correct the associated deformities of the calvaria, reshape the cranial vault and to perform fronto-orbital advancement to correct any recessed supraorbital rims (1). If the synostosis goes uncorrected, the deformity progresses to involve the face and malocclusion. Therefore, the surgical goal is to increase the intracranial volume, especially under the fused suture, and prevent any long-term complications (5).

The first surgery on craniosynostoses was described by Marie-Lannelongue in 1890 (33). At that time mostly simple craniectomy was performed. Simple craniectomy was unfortunately accompanied by a high rate of reossification and gave only modest results, unless mobilization of the orbits, midface, and cranium was performed concurrently (34). Today there are many surgical techniques and modifications that have been described for skull reconstruction in craniosynostosis. Two main groups of surgery for craniosynostosis can be distinguished. The first one is calvarial vault remodeling and the second is minimally invasive endoscopic assisted surgery. During the vault remodeling the skull bones can be divided into many parts and these parts may be rearranged to give a natural appearing shape. Some areas may need to have onlay bone grafting by using split cranial bone grafts (1). Performing endoscopic assisted surgery only the affected suture and the adjacent bone area are removed and the calvarian form is corrected later using a cranial helmet. This technique can be used not in all cases. Early diagnosis is very important for planning a surgical repair of craniosynostosis (35).

The optimal timing for the surgical treatment of craniosynostosis is still controversial.

Many craniosynostosis series have been reported in literature. Based on Utria et al., the ideal operative for craniosynostosis appears to be 6–9 months of age when there is no concern for elevated intracranial pressures (35).

A delay in surgery beyond the first 9 to 12 months of life leads to progressive deformity of the cranial base, resulting in abnormal facial growth and asymmetry of the maxilla and mandible (35). The best time to intervene is when the infant is between 3 and 9 months of age (37) to take advantage of this period of rapid brain and skull growth, to provide an optimal chance for reossification of the surgical cranial defects, and to ensure ease of bone remodeling (13). The calvaria in a child 3 to 9 months of age is still malleable and, therefore, quite easy to shape, (37) but one large-scale study reported that younger age (<9 months) can be considered as a predictor factor for surgery complications (38). General indications for surgical intervention in nonsyndromic craniosynostosis include the presence of cosmetic deformity and/or functional impairment, such as intracranial hypertension or optic atrophy (39).

In our cases the mean age at the time of surgery was 13.47 ± 8.2 months, but eliminating 3 patients
whose age at the time of surgery was over 2 years, the mean age of other 21 patients was 10.63 ± 1.77 months.

The relatively big mean age at the time of surgery was caused by late referral of these patients to the craniofacial surgeons. The main factors, in our opinion, were and still are poor or insufficient physician’s knowledge about craniosynostosis and the anxiety or even fear of any bigger/modern craniosynostotic or craniofacial surgery. After we started our activity as a craniofacial team and gave lectures for pediatricians and other physicians, also showed the results of our treatment, the amount of timely referred and as well as treated patients started to grow up.

Other authors also noted that bigger amount of surgeries was caused by education of pediatricians, neurologists and other physicians who can have any contacts with these patients up to 12 months. During this time, cerebral growth is greatest and, in craniosynostosis, effects on the brain may be detrimental (33).

Most often complications after open surgical repair of craniosynostosis include a moderate amount of blood loss during the surgery, infection (3–6%) (40), cerebrospinal fluid leak, meningitis. The mortality has been reported to be around 0.1–2.2% (41). This depends on patient selection and patient series. Patients with non-syndromic craniosynostosis, if operated early, have a very good postoperative outcome and the need for the second surgery is minimal (1). However, in syndromic cases the reoperation rates are quite high, to the tune of about 2–13% (41). It was stated that the highest number of complications was related to complete cranial vault remodeling (holocranial dismantling) in scaphocephalies and multiple synostosis and after the use of internal osteogenic distractors (43).

Harrop et al. reported a morbidity of 0.02% and no mortality in 40 consecutive craniosynostosis operated cases. Kadri and Mawla referred a mortality of 3 patients (2.58%) in a study of 116 children with cranio-synostosis. Nonaka et al., in a series of 25 patients with craniosynostosis, had a morbidity of 12% (3 patients). Ferreira et al. presents the morbidity 9.7% and mortality 2.6% (34). In the last cases of this series, it was observed that surgical time, blood loss in the trans-operative and post-operative periods, postoperative complications and mortality rates showed a significant reduction (34).

Our study also showed that the last surgeries were performed in shorter time than the first surgeries although it was statistically insignificant (p > 0.05).

These findings may reflect an improvement in the relationship among surgical (cranio-maxillofacial, neurosurgical and anesthesiological) team members in dealing with this complex and interesting disease (34).

According to the literature the most frequent complication was non-filiated postoperative hyperthermia (13.17% of the cases) followed by infection (8.10%), subcutaneous haematoma (6.08%), dural tears (5.06%) and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leakage (2.7%) (43).

In our last treated cases we have used Manitol (0.5–1 g/kg) to reduce intracranial hypertension and cerebral edema during the division of the frontoorbital bandeau. In our opinion, this maneuver helps to reduce possible brain damaging caused by direct pressure exposing the anterior cranial fossa. However, more experience is required to confirm this statement as well the usage of tranexamic acid to reduce intraoperative blood loss.

A multidisciplinary approach, including neurosurgeons, neurologists, and pediatricians, and appropriate training of the clinical surgical staff can minimize the risks and decrease the complications in the treatment of craniosynostosis, leading to a satisfactory outcome (34).

In our case series we had a case of subcutaneous haematoma and dural tears (4.17%). We had no postoperative infection and any mortality but it is difficult to compare due to a relatively small amount of treated cases.

It should be noted that the craniofacial team is composed not only of a cranio-maxillofacial surgeon and a neurosurgeon. An anesthesiologist also plays a very important role during the intra-and postoperative treatment of craniosynostotic patients. Specific anesthetic challenges occur during this type of operation. The main problems for an anesthesia team are that craniofacial surgery may be associated with sudden cardiovascular changes and with the potential for significant blood loss (44). High-risk groups for bleeding include those with weight <10 kg, age <18 months, craniofacial syndromes, pansynostosis, operating time more
than five hours and patients with known high intracranial pressure. In a modified prone position (sphinx), hyperextension of the neck may result in spinal cord and orbital injury. The anesthesiologist should attempt to minimize factors that increase intracranial pressure, such as hypercapnia and hypoxia, and factors that increase venous pressure, such as the patient's position and coughing.

Craniosynostosis should be ideally managed in a multidisciplinary team. It is stated that objective evaluation of the results in craniofacial surgery constitutes a difficult issue and the end-results are still a subjective measure (45). Despite that, regular follow-up visits after surgery to monitor head growth, check for possible persistent craniofacial or increased intracranial pressure are necessary. Only regular follow-up can ensure that possible re-fusing of sutures will be diagnosed early and possible reoperation can be performed at the right time.

CONCLUSIONS

Cranioplasties for correction of craniosynostosis give good aesthetic results and this is quite a safe method which helps to correct the head shape as well as improves the social adaptation of patients. Final evaluation of the performed cranioplasties requires a longer observation period.

Received 13 April 2015
Accepted 26 May 2015

References


Santrauka

Tikslas. Apžvelgti kraniosinostozių diagnostikos metodus, peržiūrėti Vilniaus (Lietuvos) komandos kraniosinostozių chirurginio gydymo bei anestezijos patirtį, metodus ir pateikti ankstyvuosius chirurginio gydymo rezultatus.

Medžiaga ir metodai. Retrospektyviniame tyrime įvertinti visi pacientai, kurie dėl įvairaus tipo kraniosinostozių buvo operuoti nuo 2009 m. sausio 1 d. iki 2014 m. gruodžio 31 d. Vertintas pacientų amžius operacijos metu, deformacijos tipas, chirurginė technika, anestezijos metodai ir eiga, intra- ir pooperacinės komplikacijos, galvos forma, tėvų pasitenkinimas.

Rezultatai. Iš viso operuoti 24 pacientai. Pacientų amžiaus vidurkis operacijos metu buvo 13,47 ± 8,2 mėnesiai (min 7,3; maks 46,5), tačiau atmetus 3 pacientus, kuriems operuojant buvo per 2 metus (atitinkamai 24,5; 29 ir 46 mėnesiai), likusių (21 paciento) amžiaus vidurkis siekė 10,63 ± 1,77 (min 7,3; maks 14,1) mėnesius. Visiems 24 pacientams diagnozė buvo nustatyta kliniškai ir vėliau patvirtinta kompiuterinės tomografijos tyrimu. 9 pacientai (37,5 %) operuoti dėl izoliuotos trigonicefali jos, 7 (29,2 %) – dėl izoliuotos skafocefalijos, 7 (29,2 %) – dėl izoliuotos priekinės plagiocegali jos ir 1 pacientas – dėl kombinuotos užpakalinės plagiocefali jos ir skafocefalijos. 2 pacientams diagnozuotos sindrominės kraniosinosto zės. Visais atvejais atlikta stabili (rigidiška) osteosintezė. Operacijų trukmės vidurkis – 336,47 ± 59,63 minu tės (min 308,13; maks 364,82). Po operacijos pacientai intensyviosios terapijos skyriuje vidutiniškai praleido 2,53 ± 1,28 dienas (min 1,92; maks 3,14). 23 pacientams (95,83 %) buvo atlikta intraoperacinė arba pooperacinė kraujo transfuzija. Ankstyvuojų ir vėlyvų pooperaci nių laikotarpiu nebuvo užfiksuota infekcijos, cerebrospinalinio skysčio nutekėjimo ar smegenų dangalo pakenkimo atvejų. Nė vienam tirtam pacientui po operacijos nepasireiškė neurologiniai sutrikimai ar neurologinis deficitas, nebuvo nė vieno mirties atvejo. Visais tirtaisiais atvejais pacientų tėvai buvo patenkinti pasikeitusia galvos forma ir chirurginio gydymo estetiniu rezultatu.

Išvados. Kranioplastika yra saugus kraniosinostozių gydymo metodas, leidžiantis pasiekti gerų estetinių gydymo rezultatų, koreguojantis galvos formą bei palengvinantis pacientų socialinę adaptaciją.

Raktažodžiai: kraniosinostožės, kompiuterinė to mografija, kraniofacalinė deformacija, kraniofacalinė chirurgija, vaizdavimas