A comparative analysis of mathematical methods for homogeneity estimation of the Lithuanian population
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Background. Population genetic structure is one of the most important population genetic parameters revealing its demographic features. The aim of this study was to evaluate the homogeneity of the Lithuanian population on the basis of the genome-wide genotyping data. The comparative analysis of three methods – multidimensional scaling, principal components, and principal coordinates analysis – to visualize multidimensional genetics data was performed. The results of visualization (mapping images) are also presented.

Materials and methods. The data set consisted of 425 samples from six ethnolinguistic groups of the Lithuanian population. Genomic DNA was extracted from whole venous blood using either the phenol-chloroform extraction method or the automated DNA extraction platform TECAN Freedom EVO. Genotyping was performed at the Department of Human and Medical Genetics, Institute of Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Vilnius University, Lithuania, with the Illumina HumanOmniExpress-12 v1.1 and the Infinium OmniExpress-24. For the estimation of homogeneity of the Lithuanian population, PLINK data file was obtained using PLINK v1.07 program. The Past3 software was used to visualize the genotype data with multidimensional scaling and principal coordinates methods. The SmartPCA from EIGENSOFT 7.2.1 program was used in the principal component analysis to determine the population structure.

Conclusions. Methods of multidimensional scaling, principal coordinate, and principal component for the genetic structure of the Lithuanian population were investigated and compared. The principal coordinate and principal component methods can be used for genotyping data visualization, since any essential differences in the results obtained were not observed and compared to multidimensional scaling. The Lithuanian population is homogenous whereas the points are strongly close when we use the principal coordinates or principal component methods.
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INTRODUCTION

Nowadays population genetic structure is one of the most important parameters in analysing population research. Different genetic models based on genetic markers are used to evaluate the population structure. Appropriate mathematical methods have been developed for different genetic models to obtain information from genetic marker data to explore the population structure.

There is a large class of methods that have been developed for multidimensional data visualization (1, 2). The visual presentation of the data enables seeing the data structure, clusters, outliers, and other properties of multidimensional data. Direct data visualization is a graphical presentation of a data set providing a quality understanding of the information contents in a natural and direct way.

There exist numerous methods that can be used for reducing the dimensionality and particularly for visualizing the n-dimensional data: principal component analysis (PCA) (3), multidimensional scaling (MDS) (4), locally linear embedding (LLE) (5), etc. These methods can be used to visualize the data set provided that a sufficiently small output dimensionality \( d = 2, d = 3 \) is chosen.

In this study, methods of multidimensional scaling, principal coordinates, and principal components were used for detecting population genetic structure and potential outliers of the Lithuanian population. Our core task was to determine the accuracy of each method for genome-wide genotyping data visualization.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Samples and genotyping

The data set consisted of 425 samples from unrelated Lithuanian individuals. The samples were collected randomly from six ethnolinguistic groups of Lithuania: three groups of Aukštaitai (from the region in the north-eastern part of the country) and three groups of Žemaitai (from the ethnic region in the north-western part of Lithuania) (Table 1).

Genomic DNA was extracted from whole venous blood using either the phenol-chloroform extraction method or the automated DNA extraction platform TECAN Freedom EVO (TE-CAN Group Ltd., Männedorf, Switzerland), based on paramagnetic particle method. DNA concentration and quality were measured by NanoDropR ND-1000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies Inc., US).

Genotyping was performed at the Department of Human and Medical Genetics, Institute of Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Vilnius University, Lithuania, with Illumina HumanOmniExpress-12v1.1 (296 samples) and the Infinium OmniExpress-24 (129 samples) arrays (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA), with overlap of 707,138 SNPs genome-wide distributed. Quality control of the genotyping data was performed according to the manufacturer’s standard recommendations. Individuals with call rate <98% and standard deviation (SD) of Log R ratio >0.3 were excluded from further analysis. GenomeStudio v2011.1 program (Illumina, USA) was used to distinguish the genotypes from the sample and to export the data in PED/MAP format.

For the estimation of homogeneity of the Lithuanian population, PLINK data file (binary for mat) was obtained using PLINK v1.07 program (3). Individuals or SNPs with >10% missing data, minor allele frequency (MAF) <0.01, and Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) test \( p \)-value of less than \( 10^{-4} \) were excluded. SNPs in linkage disequilibrium were removed with the indep-pairwise option of PLINK v1.07 using a window size of 50 SNPs, a step size of 5, and an \( r^2 \) threshold of 0.5.

VISUALIZATION METHODS

In this paper, we performed an analytic investigation of multidimensional scaling, principal components, and principal coordinates methods, which are used for multidimensional data visualization.
If we have the dataset $X = \{X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n\}$ in the $n$-dimensional space, where $X_i = (X_{i1}, X_{i2}, \ldots, X_{in})$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$, we desire to get the dataset $Y = \{Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_m\}$ in $d$-dimensional space, where $Y_i = (y_{i1}, y_{i2}, \ldots, y_{id})$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$ and $d < n$. If a sufficiently small output dimensionality $d = 2$ or $d = 3$ is chosen, two or three dimensional vectors obtained may be presented in a scatter plot.

The Past3 program was used to visualize the genotype data with multidimensional scaling and principal coordinates methods. The SmartPCA from EIGENSOFT 7.2.1 is one of the basic programs used in the principal component analysis to determine homogeneous or heterogeneous population structure. The method was developed for the samples not related to the population structure.

**Multidimensional scaling**

Multidimensional scaling (MDS) refers to a group of methods that are widely used for dimensionality reduction and visualization of multidimensional data (4). The starting point of MDS is a matrix consisting of pairwise proximities of the data. The proximities are similarity or dissimilarity. The main goal of multidimensional scaling is to find lower-dimensional data $Y$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$, such that the distances between the data in the lower-dimensional space were be as close to the original distances (or other proximities) as possible (4). The stress function $E_{MDS}$ must be minimized.

$$E_{MDS} = \sum_{i,j} w_{ij} (\delta(Y_{i}, Y_{j}) - d(Y_{i}, Y_{j}))^2,$$

where $w_{ij}$ is a weight; $\delta(Y_{i}, Y_{j})$ is the value of proximity between the $n$-dimensional data $X_i$ and $X_j$, $d(Y_{i}, Y_{j})$ is the distance (usually, Euclidean) between two-dimensional data $Y_i$ and $Y_j$. If the proximity is the Euclidean distance, then $\delta(Y_{i}, Y_{j}) = d(Y_{i}, Y_{j})$.

The multidimensional scaling method is based on a distance matrix computed with distance measures. The results of MDS depend on the initial values of two-dimensional vectors if the MDS stress is minimized in an iterative way.

**Principal coordinates analysis**

Principal coordinates analysis (PCO) is another method also known as metric multidimensional scaling. The algorithm is taken from Davis (1986). The main idea of this method is finding the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a matrix containing the distances or similarities between all data points. Giving a measure of the variance accounted for by the corresponding eigenvectors (coordinates), the eigenvalues are given for the first four most important coordinates (or fewer if there are fewer than four data points). The percentages of variance accounted for by these components are also given (6).

Before eigenanalysis, the values of similarity and distance index values can be raised to the power $c$. $C$ is the “transformation exponent”, which can be 1, 2, 4 and 6 (7). We needed principal coordinates analysis with the standard value $c = 2$.

**Principal components**

Principal components analysis (PCA) is one of the powerful and popular statistical linear projection methods. Linear transformation is widely used for a dimensionality reduction, feature extraction, and visualization of multidimensional data. The main goal of this method is finding the trend with the largest variance. The input data is a matrix of multivariate data, with items in rows and variables in columns. The eigenvectors and their eigenvalues were calculated by the singular value decomposition algorithm (SVD).

Principal component analysis is a tool widely used in genomics and statistical genetics, employed to infer cryptic population structure from genome-wide data such as single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) (8), and/or to identify outlier individuals which may need to be removed prior to further analyses, such as genome-wide association studies (GWAS) (9).

**The similarity and distance indices**

We used 20 similarity and distance indices (Euclidean, Gower, Chord, Manhattan, Bray-Curtis, Cosine, Morisita, Horn, Correlation, Rho, Dice, Jaccard, Ochiai, Kukczynski, Simpson, Hamming/p-distance, Jukes-Cantor, Kimura, User-supplied similarity, User-supplied distance) for the multidimensional scaling method and principal coordinates analysis. These similarities and distance measures are described in the publication PAST PAleontologicaal STatistics Version 3.18 by Øyvind Hammer.

In this paper, we analysed only Euclidean and Gower similarity and distance indices in greater detail since with these similarities we achieved the best possible results. The basic Euclidean distance means the distance between the two points in a plane. Gower is a distance measure that...
averages the difference over all variables, each term normalized for the range of $X_k = (x_{k1}, x_{k2}, \ldots, x_{kn})$ and $X_l = (x_{l1}, x_{l2}, \ldots, x_{ln})$ variables calculated as follows:

$$d_{kl} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_s \frac{|x_{ks} - x_{ls}|}{\max_s x_s - \min_s x_s}.$$  

The Gower measure is similar to the Manhattan distance but with the range normalization (6).

**RESULTS**

The aim of this study was to explore the most suitable method for inferring the Lithuanian population structure using genotype data: multidimensional scaling, principal coordinate, and principal component.

The visualization results of the genotype data of six ethnolinguistic groups of Lithuania visualized by multidimensional scaling methods are presented in Fig. 1, by principal coordinates analysis in Fig. 2, and visualized by principal components in Fig. 3.

In order to estimate the quality of mapping, the stress function was calculated.

The research results show that the stress function values are smaller and approximately equal when we used the similarity of the Euclidean distance (Stress = 1.371) and Gower (Stress = 1.372) than other similarities of multidimensional scaling method (Fig. 1). The stress function values are larger when we used other similarities (except Euclidean and Gower).

The results of visualization obtained by the principal coordinates are presented in Fig. 2. The Coordinate 1 explained 0.57% and Coordinate 2 0.55% of the genetic variation among the studied samples (424) of the Lithuanian population when the similarity index was the Euclidean distance and Coordinate 1 explained 0.77%, Coordinate 2 0.76% of genetic variation, provided that the similarity index was Gower.

The results of the data analysis show that Coordinate 1 explained 11.25% and Coordinate 2 11.11%, when the principal components method was used with the Euclidean distance similarity index (Fig. 3).

The investigation results show that the principal components method is more suitable to analyze the population genetic structure than the methods of multidimensional scaling or principal coordinates. On the other hand, the principal coordinates method is more suitable as compared to the multidimensional scaling (Fig. 1 and Fig. 2).

In Figs. 1-3, the West Žemaičiai (WZ) denote a green circle, South Aukštaitai (SA) – a grey triangle, West Aukštaitai (WA) – a red star, North Žemaičiai (NZ) – a light brown square, South Žemaičiai (SZ) – a yellow dagger, East Aukštaitai (EA) – a blue diamond.

It can be seen that since the similarity index is the Euclidean distance, the points obtained by principal coordinate and principal component, are clustered very strongly, but the points obtained by the multidimensional scaling method are dispersed, and it is difficult to evaluate the population structure. It is evident that the outliers are more visible when we use the principal coordinates and principal components methods provided that the similarity index remains the same, i.e., the Euclidean distance.

![Fig. 1. Population structure by the multidimensional scaling: a) Euclidean distance b) Gower](image-url)
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Fig. 2. Population structure by the principal coordinate analysis: a) Euclidean distance b) Gower

Fig. 3. Population structure by the principal components analysis (Euclidean distance)

Figure 2a and Figure 3 show that all six ethnolinguistic groups form one general cluster, and therefore we can conclude that the Lithuanian population is homogeneous.

CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, the multidimensional scaling, principal coordinate, and principal component methods for the Lithuanian population genetic structure have been investigated and compared. We conclude that the principal coordinate and principal component methods can be used for genotyping data visualization, since any essential differences in the results obtained have not been observed and compared to multidimensional scaling. The results show that the Lithuanian population is homogeneous as the points are clustered very strongly when we use the principal coordinates or principal component methods.
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MATEMATINIŲ METODŲ, TAIKOMŲ LIETUVOS POPULIACIJOS HOMOGENIŠKUMUI NUSTATYTI, LYGINAMOJI ANALIZĖ

Santrauka


Išvados. Vertinant Lietuvos populiacijos genetinę struktūrą buvo išgirda ir palyginti daugiamačių skalės, pagrindinių koordinatų ir pagrindinių komponencijų metodai. Gauti rezultatai parodė, kad genotipų duomenų vizualizavimui geriau naudoti pagrindinių koordinatų ir pagrindinių komponencijų metodus, nes gauti rezultatai yra panašūs, palyginti su daugiamačių skalės metodu. Lietuvių populiacija yra homogeniška, o vizualizuoti duomenys yra glaudžiai susiję, kai naudojami pagrindinių koordinatų arba pagrindinių komponencijų metodai.
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