Primary pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma cell cultures represent the features of native tumours
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Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is one of the deadliest forms of cancer due to the lack of diagnostic tools at the early stage and low efficiency of current chemotherapeutic approaches. The anticancer compounds with proven efficiency in established cell cultures often fail validation in further research. In this study, we employed PDAC patient-derived primary cell cultures to evaluate the efficiency of chemotherapeutic agents. Alongside, patients’ tissue samples were analysed by high-throughput differential proteomic analysis. We have shown that main first-line chemotherapeutic agents gemcitabine and FOLFIRINOX have little to no effect on the viability of patient-derived primary PDAC cells. The comparative proteomic and bioinformatic analysis of PDAC tumours shows an increase in the components of the extracellular matrix and focal adhesions and also overexpression of the downstream signaling from a variety of receptors, most notably PDGF receptor β and ErbB1 receptor. Consistently, all tumour-derived cell cultures assayed express a high level of PDGF receptor β. The enhancement of multiple signaling pathways leads to the increase in cell survival, proliferation, and resistance to apoptosis. Here we demonstrated the promising value of patient-derived primary PDAC cultures as a model for anticancer drug research and evaluation for individualized therapy.
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INTRODUCTION

Pancreatic cancer is one of the most fatal cancers. The five-year survival rate for pancreatic cancer in the United States was reported at 8%, which was the lowest among many other common types of cancer (Siegel et al., 2018). Pancreatic cancer is projected to surpass breast, prostate, and colorectal cancers to become the second leading cause of cancer-related death by 2030 in the USA due to the lack of effective screening modalities and low efficacy of conventional treatment strategies (Rahib et al., 2014).
Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) represents about 90% of pancreatic cancer cases. Since 1997, gemcitabine monotherapy has been a standard treatment for PDAC, improving symptoms and prolonging survival of PDAC patients (Burris et al., 1997; Ellenrieder et al., 2016). However, the rate of response to gemcitabine varies from 7% to 23.8% (Burris et al., 1997; Hoff et al., 2013) in different trials, and overall survival of gemcitabine-treated patients reaches only 6.5 months (Saung, Zheng, 2017). So far, other clinical trials for PDAC treatment have managed to introduce only FOLFIRINOX (a combination of folinic acid, fluorouracil, irinotecan, and oxaliplatin) therapy (Fryer et al., 2011) and gemcitabine combination with nab-paclitaxel (Hoff et al., 2013) as alternative first-line pancreatic cancer treatment, increasing the weighted median overall survival of therapy-eligible patients by three months.

So far, the standard model for PDAC research has mostly been few established pancreatic cancer cell lines. Only 15 cell lines are broadly available for the research. Moreover, such drawbacks of the established cell lines as genetic drift due to a long cultivation time in vitro and self-evident lack of heterogeneity of tumour specimen are now widely acknowledged problems (Pan et al., 2009; Rückert et al., 2012). Primary tumour-derived cell cultures are gaining recognition as an attractive alternative for cancer research and advanced applications such as personalized treatment screening (Kodack et al., 2017).

In this study we introduced primary patient-derived PDAC culture as a model system for pancreatic cancer treatment evaluation. We demonstrated the lack of efficiency of conventional chemotherapeutic regimens and analysed the molecular mechanisms of innate PDAC drug resistance.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Establishment of primary cell lines from surgical samples**

All cell lines were derived from pancreatic adenocarcinoma tumour tissue. After washing three times with PBS, tumour samples were minced with scalpel into 1 mm³ fragments, which were then transferred to culture dishes containing Iscove’s Modified Dulbecco’s Media (Gibco), 15% fetal bovine serum (Gibco), and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco), and allowed to adhere. After several weeks, the cells outgrew primary tumour and the first passage was performed. After that, cells were routinely passaged with 0.25% trypsin/EDTA (Gibco) up to 12th–15th passage, when they became senescent. All experiments in this study were performed with cells up to the 10th passage. Cancer cells were grown in 37°C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂.

**Chemical agents**

Gemcitabine hydrochloride (Sigma), 5-fluorouracil (Accord Healthcare), irinotecan hydrochloride (Sigma), and oxaliplatin (Teva) were used in this study. FOLFIRINOX was prepared by mixing equal concentrations (5, 10, or 20 µM) of 5-fluorouracil, irinotecan hydrochloride, and oxaliplatin.

**Assessment of cell viability and death**

Cell death after drug treatment was evaluated using acridine orange/ethidium bromide assay. One day before treatment, cells were seeded to 24-well plates, 16 000 cells/well. After 24 h, cells were treated with gemcitabine hydrochloride and FOLFIRINOX for 48 h, stained with 0.1 mg/ml acridine orange/0.2 mg/ml ethidium bromide mixture, and observed using a confocal laser scanning microscope (Nikon Eclipse TE2000-S). Cell viability/drug cytotoxicity was determined by counting red (stained with ethidium bromide) and green (stained with acridine orange) fluorescent cells and calculating the ratio of (green:red) : green or red : green cells; for each point, at least 300 cells were counted in three different randomly picked fields of view.

For active caspase-3/7 detection by confocal microscopy, cells were seeded at density 800 cells/chamber on glass chamber slides. The next day cells were treated with 100 µM 5-fluorouracil. After 48 h, live cells were stained with CellTracker Red Dye and CellEvent Caspase-3/7 Detection Reagent (both purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific) and observed.
using a confocal laser scanning microscope (Nikon Eclipse TE2000-S). Miapaca-2 cells treated with 100 µM 5-fluorouracil were used as a positive control.

**Patient data and tissue samples**
Tissue specimens from 37 patients were included in the analysis: 19 pancreatic cancer samples, ten samples of chronic pancreatitis, and also eight samples of healthy pancreas tissue that were obtained from specimens after surgery for benign pancreas or duodenum diseases. All patients read and signed the form of informed consent for taking part in the research, approved by the Lithuanian Bioethical Committee (Protocol No. PancCa001-3). The process of freezing the specimens was identical to that described by Börner et al. (Börner et al., 2009). Within less than 10 min after resection, tissue samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen in cryotubes. After transportation period of 10 min, the samples were placed in a freezer and kept at a temperature of –80°C.

**Proteomic sample preparation and LC-MS analysis**
To examine disease-associated changes in the proteome, high-throughput differential label-free quantitative proteomic analysis of healthy, pancreatic carcinoma, and pancreatitis patient samples was performed using high-definition mass spectrometry (HDMS) technology. The homogenized samples were lysed using urea/thiourea lysis buffer, prepared for digestion and subsequent LC-MS analysis and liquid chromatography (LC) separation of peptides performed as described previously (Ger et al., 2018). The samples were run in triplicate. Raw data files were processed and searched using ProteinLynx Global SERVER (PLGS) version 2.5.3 (Waters Corporation) as described previously (Ger et al., 2018). UniProtKB/SwissProt human database (2018-02-05) was used for protein identification.

**Computational and bioinformatic analysis of proteomic data**
For quantitative analysis of proteome, an increase or a decrease in the protein level of 1.5-fold or more was considered as upregulation or downregulation, respectively, with *p*-value ≤0.05. Enrichment analysis of biological processes in differential proteome was performed on the basis of EnrichR enrichment analysis server (Kuleshov et al., 2016). Enrichment only with *p*-value ≤0.05 was considered significant. Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway (Kanehisa et al., 2016) and National Cancer Institute and Nature Publishing Group Pathway Interaction Database (NCI-Nature PID) (Schaefer et al., 2009) databases were employed for signaling pathway analysis. The protein interaction and expression network was built using GeneMANIA app (3.4.1) (Warde-Farley et al., 2010) on Cytoscape 3.3.0 platform (Shannon et al., 2003); physical interaction and pathways data were used for network generation, no related genes were added to the network.

**Western blot**
Cells (70–80% confluent) were washed three times with PBS and lysed on ice in EB++ buffer: 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 50 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 50 mM NaF, 2% Triton X-100, 1 mM PMSF, 20 nM aprotinin, 2 mM NaVO₄. Then cell lysates were centrifuged for 15 min at 20,000 × g at 0°C. Supernatant was collected and protein concentration was determined by the BCA method. Protein samples (30 µg) were subjected to 8% SDS-PAGE at 5 mA, transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Bio-Rad) by wet transfer and blocked in Odyssey blocking buffer in PBS (LI-COR Biosciences). Blots were then probed with anti-PDGFR-β antibody (produced in our lab by rabbit immunization with recombinant protein). In addition, the blots were probed with anti-β-actin antibody (produced in our lab by rabbit immunization with recombinant protein). The membrane-bound primary antibody of β-actin was probed with IRDye® 800CW Infrared dye conjugated secondary goat anti-mouse antibody (LICOR Biosciences). Primary antibody of PDGFR-β was probed with IRDye® 680RD Infrared dye conjugated secondary goat anti-rabbit antibody (LI-COR Biosciences). Immunofluorescent signal was...
detected by scanning membranes on Odyssey® Infrared Imaging System (LI-COR Biosciences).

RESULTS

Primary patient-derived pancreatic cancer cell lines exhibit resistance to conventional chemotherapy regimens

Primary cell cultures were grown from PDAC tumour (designated Paca) or from chronic pancreatitis (designated Pancr) samples. Since gemcitabine and FOLFIRINOX are main first-line chemotherapy options for PDAC (Ellenrieder et al., 2016), we tested their efficiency on primary PDAC cell cultures. Chronic pancreatitis cell culture served as a benign control. Our results show that primary tumour cell cultures (Paca6 and Paca9, derived from two different patients) are highly resistant to both drug regimens (Fig. 1A and B). Although high concentrations of gemcitabine hydrochloride (100 µM) or FOLFIRINOX (20 µM of
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**Fig. 1.** Conventional anti-PDAC drugs do not induce primary PDAC cell death

A. Paca6, Paca9 and Pancr15 cell viability after 20–100 µM gemcitabine and 5–20 µM FOLFIRINOX treatment. Cells were exposed to drugs for 48 h, stained with acridine orange and ethidium bromide mixture and counted. Cell viability is expressed as viable cells (100%-dead cells (stained with ethidium bromide))/all cells (stained with acridine orange).

B. Representative images of Paca6 cells after drug treatment and dual acridine orange/ethidium bromide staining.

C. Caspase-3/7 activation in PDAC cells after 5-fluorouracil exposure. Paca7, Paca9, Pancr15 and Miapaca-2 cells were treated with 100 µM 5-fluorouracil for 48 h and stained with CellTracker Red Dye and CellEvent Caspase-3/7 Detection Reagent. Paca – primary PDAC cell line, Pancr – primary pancreatitis cell line, Gm – gemcitabine hydrochloride, FLFX – FOLFIRINOX, AO – acridine orange, EB – ethidium bromide, 5-FU – 5-fluorouracil. Scale bar, 40 µm.
5-fluorouracil, irinotecan hydrochloride, and oxaliplatin) combination visibly slowed down the growth of cell culture, they did not cause cell death after 48 h treatment. Viability of pancreatitis cell culture Pancr15 was not affected by the anticancer agents either.

To assay apoptosis induction in primary cell culture, we treated primary tumour cell cultures (Paca7 and Paca9, derived from two different patients), pancreatitis cell culture Pancr15, or the established PDAC cell line MiaPaCa-2 with 100 µM 5-fluorouracil for 48 h. Caspase3/7 activity was assayed using CellEvent caspase-3/7 detection reagent (Fig. 1C). 5-fluorouracil caused apoptosis in MiaPaCa-2, but not in primary PDAC or pancreatitis cell lines. No cell death or significant change in caspase activity was detected after a shorter 24 h treatment. Primary cell lines remained unaffected by 5-fluorouracil treatment even after a longer 72 h treatment.

These data show that primary pancreatic cancer cell cultures exhibit innate resistance to gemcitabine and FOLFIRINOX chemotherapy.

Proteomic analysis shows overexpression of multiple signaling pathways in PDAC tissue samples

To elucidate potential innate drug resistance mechanism, tissue samples from 19 patients with pancreatic cancer and from eight patients with benign pancreas or duodenum conditions were analysed by the protein differential mass spectrometry. The samples were fractionated and analysed by HDMS in two series of proteomic experiments. 3192 proteins in total in all patient proteomes were identified and quantified. Proteins level of which was significantly (p ≤ 0.05) increased or decreased 1.5-fold and higher in chronic pancreatitis or PDAC patients versus control pancreatic samples from benign diseases in both series of experiments were considered differentially regulated. In PDAC we found 534 differentially regulated proteins; levels of 343 proteins were increased and of 191 proteins were decreased.

Differential PDAC-specific proteome of 534 proteins was analysed on the base of EnrichR resource. For the analysis of altered cell signaling pathways NCI-Nature Pathway Interaction Database (NCI-Nature PID) was employed. Table 1 shows top 20 signaling pathways enriched in PDAC differential proteome. Overlapping or redundant pathways consisting of same proteins were grouped into clusters (Fig. 2A). Data highlight the dominance of multiple extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins, of integrins that ensure cell interaction with ECM and integrin downstream signaling components. Pathways of integrin-linked kinase (ILK), platelet-derived growth factor receptor β (PDGFRβ), HIF-1α transcription factor, ErbB1, and chemokine receptor CXCR4 were also overexpressed in PDAC differential proteome.

Metabolic and regulatory processes in different PDAC proteome were analysed in a similar way using the KEGG Pathways database. Table 2 shows top 20 pathways enriched in PDAC. Overlapping or redundant pathways consisting of same proteins were also grouped into clusters (Fig. 2B). The data show overexpression of focal adhesion components and highlight several related processes. The change in PDAC metabolism, especially enhanced glycolysis and reduction in amino acid metabolism, also in production of pancreatic secretion components, is revealed.

Using combined data from the analysis in NCI-Nature PID and KEGG Pathways database an interactive network of PDAC regulatory processes was built (Fig. 2C). The majority of overexpressed signaling-related proteins belong to ECM, focal adhesion, and integrin interaction network. Overexpressed PDGFRβ pathway downstream components also comprise a large part of altered proteome. Both integrin and PDGFRβ signaling are known to ensure cell survival, proliferation, and suppression of apoptosis. Other enriched pathways, such as HIF-1α transcription factor, ILK, CXCR4, and mTOR-mediated signaling also play a role in innate drug resistance.

Since PDGFRβ signaling pathway was highly enriched in PDAC tumour proteome, we assayed the expression of PDGFRβ in a panel of tumour-derived primary cell lines by Western
Table 1. NCI-Nature PID pathways enriched in PDAC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Term</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Combined EnrichR Score</th>
<th>Genes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Beta1 integrin cell surface interactions</td>
<td>3E-11</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>LAMB3; FN1; TNC; F13A1; LAMC2; THBS1; COL1A1; COL3A1; COL5A1; COL6A2; COL6A1; COL4A5; ITGAV; CD14; TGFBI; TGM2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>PDGFR-beta signaling pathway</td>
<td>3E-09</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>ACTR3; CYFIP2; TAGLN; LRP1; STAT1; ARPC1B; ACTN4; IQGAP1; YWHAZ; ROHA; ACTA2; RAP1A; ARPC2; ARPC3; ITGAV; SFN; GRB2; RAC1; YWHAH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Integrins in angiogenesis</td>
<td>1E-09</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>COL14A1; COL12A1; FN1; F11R; ROHA; COL1A1; COL3A1; COL5A1; COL6A2; COL6A1; COL4A5; ITGAV; RAC1; TLN1; VCL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ErbB1 downstream signaling</td>
<td>7E-06</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>ACTR3; CYFIP2; STAT1; ARPC1B; PEBP1; IQGAP1; YWHAZ; ARPC2; ARPC3; SFN; GRB2; RAC1; YWHAH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Integrin-linked kinase signaling</td>
<td>4E-06</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>XPO1; PARP1; ACTN1; RUVBL1; RAC1; IQGAP1; MYL9; TNS1; LIMS1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>RAC1 signaling pathway</td>
<td>2E-05</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>ACTR3; CYFIP2; ARPC2; ARPC3; ARPC1B; CFL1; RAC1; IQGAP1; PAK2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Signaling events mediated by focal adhesion kinase</td>
<td>3E-05</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>ACTA1; RAP1A; ACTN1; ITGAV; GRB2; RAC1; TLN1; ROHA; VCL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Amb2 Integrin signaling_Homo sapiens</td>
<td>1E-05</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>RAP1A; ITGAM; LRP1; MMP2; ITGB2; TLN1; ROHA; ICAM1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>a6b1 and a6b4 Integrin signaling</td>
<td>3E-05</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>LAMB3; CD9; LAMC2; SFN; GRB2; RAC1; YWHAZ; YWHAH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Beta2 integrin cell surface interactions</td>
<td>1E-05</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>C3; ITGAM; ITGB2; TGFBI; F11R; KNG1; ICAM1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Beta3 integrin cell surface interactions</td>
<td>2E-05</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>COL1A1; FN1; TNC; COL4A5; ITGAV; TGFBI; F11R; THBS1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Syndecan-1-mediated signaling events</td>
<td>4E-05</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>COL1A1; COL3A1; COL5A1; COL14A1; COL6A2; COL12A1; COL6A1; COL4A5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Syndecan-4-mediated signaling events</td>
<td>2E-05</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>ACTN1; FN1; TNC; RAC1; F2; THBS1; ROHA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Urokinase-type plasminogen activator (uPA) and uPAR-mediated signaling</td>
<td>1E-04</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>ITGAM; LRPI; ITGB2; FNI; ITGAV; RAC1; TRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>CDC42 signaling events</td>
<td>7E-04</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>ACTR3; ARPC2; ARPC3; ARPC1B; CFL1; RAC1; IQGAP1; PAK2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>mTOR signaling pathway</td>
<td>7E-04</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>PDCD4; SFN; RAC1; EEF2; YWHAZ; PML; ROHA; YWHAH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>HIF-1-alpha transcription factor network</td>
<td>5E-04</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>LDHA; TF; PKM; ITGB2; ENO1; CP; HK2; HK1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Alpha4 beta1 integrin signaling events</td>
<td>2E-04</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>FN1; CD14; RAC1; TLN1; THBS1; YWHAZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Nectin adhesion pathway</td>
<td>1E-04</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>RAP1A; ITGAV; RAC1; IQGAP1; TLN1; F11R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>CXCR4-mediated signaling events</td>
<td>2E-03</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>STAT1; CFL1; HLA-DRA; ITGAV; RAC1; ROHC; GNA11; ROHA; GNA12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. KEGG pathways enriched in PDAC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Term</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Combined EnrichR Score</th>
<th>Genes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Phagosome</td>
<td>4E-18</td>
<td>68.4</td>
<td>STX12; ITGAM; RAB5C; TUBAL3; C1R; ITGB2; THBS1; CORO1A; CTSS; ACTB; THBS4; THBS3; MRCC2; C3; TUBB8; SEC61A1; TUBA1C; TUBB3; TUBB1; ITGAV; CD14; SEC61B; RAC1; TUBR; HL-A-B; HL-A-A; TUBB2A; HLA-DRA; SEC22B; HLA-DRB1; TUBA8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Complement and coagulation cascades</td>
<td>2E-16</td>
<td>64.7</td>
<td>C1QB; ITGAM; SERPINA1; CFH; SERPINC1; C1R; F12; CFI; ITGB2; F13A1; F2; C8B; KNG1; C4B; C3; C4A; C5; C8G; C7; CD55; CFB; CIQC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Metabolic pathways</td>
<td>9E-14</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>PNLIPRP1; PNLIPRP2; GPI; AMY2A; ADPGK; AMY2B; HEXB; NDUFAT10; PYGM; ABAT; ENO1; EPRS; ENO2; HK2; HK1; GMPBP; NNT; IMPA2; NAPRT1; GMPPA; DBT; NAMPT; AOX1; UQCRFS1; PHGDH; HMGC52; HIBCH; GAMT; PTGIS; PGAM2; SORD; CEL; MAT1A; ALDH1A3; ALDH5A1; PKM; CHDH; RGN; SUCLG1; LARP3; TKT; GAPDH; DLD; ALDH7A1; PDK1; TUBB2A; HLA-DRA; SEC22B; HLA-DRB1; TUBA8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Glycolysis / Gluconeogenesis</td>
<td>2E-13</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>GPI; ADPGK; PGAM2; ENO1; ENO2; HK2; HK1; ALDH1A3; ADH4; LDHB; LDHA; PKM; GAPDH; DLD; ALDH7A1; PFKP; ALDH9A1; PCK2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Regulation of actin cytoskeleton</td>
<td>4E-13</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>CYFIP2; ITGAM; ARPC1B; ITGB2; IQGAP1; ACTB; MYL12A; PPP1CC; CFL1; RAC2; PIP4K2A; MYH14; ITGAV; CD14; RAC1; MYH10; AK2; GSN; ACTN1; FN1; ARPC4; ACTN4; F2; RHOA; ARPC2; ARPC3; MYH9; FN1; MYL9; VCL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Carbon metabolism</td>
<td>7E-14</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>GPI; ADPGK; IDH3G; RPE; IDH2; PGAM2; ENO1; ENO2; HK2; HK1; ALDH6A1; PKM; PSAT1; RGN; ACO1; PHGDH; SUCLG1; TKT; GAPDH; DLD; HIBCH; PFKP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Focal adhesion</td>
<td>5E-13</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>FLT4; TNC; LAMC2; THBS1; ACTB; THBS4; MYL12A; THBS3; PPP1CC; RAP1A; RAC2; FLNA; ITGAV; RAC1; PAK2; VASP; LAMB3; ACTN1; FN1; ACTN4; RHOA; COL1A1; COL6A2; COL6A1; COL4A5; GRB2; TNN1; MYL9; VCL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Pancreatic secretion</td>
<td>2E-13</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>PNLIPRP1; CPA2; PNLIPRP2; CELA3A; CELA3B; CELA2A; CPA1; PRSS1; CPB1; CELA2B; AMY2A; PLA2G1B; AMY2B; CEL; RHOA; RAP1A; CTRL; RAC1; PRSS3; PRSS2; PNLIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Pathogenic Escherichia coli infection</td>
<td>1E-12</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>TUBAL3; TUBB; ARPC1B; ARPC4; YWHAZ; ACTB; RHOA; TUBB8; TUBA1C; TUBB2A; ARPC2; ARPC3; TUBB3; TUBB1; CD14; TUBA8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Protein digestion and absorption</td>
<td>5E-12</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>CPA2; CELA3A; CELA3B; CELA2A; CPA1; PRSS1; CPB1; CELA2B; COL14A1; COL12A1; COL3A1; COL5A1; CTRL; COL6A2; COL6A1; COL4A5; PRSS3; PRSS2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Biosynthesis of amino acids</td>
<td>2E-11</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>IDH3G; RPE; IDH2; PGAM2; PYCR1; ENO1; MAT1A; ENO2; PKM; PSAT1; CTH; ACO1; PHGDH; TKT; GAPDH; ALDH7A1; PFKP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Term</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Combined EnrichR Score</th>
<th>Genes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus infection</td>
<td>2E-11</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>C1QB; ITGAM; CFH; C1R; CFI; ITGB2; ICAM1; C4B; C3; C4A; C5; HLA-DRA; CFB; HLA-DRB1; C1QC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Ribosome</td>
<td>2E-10</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>RPS7; RPL12; RPS5; RPL22; RPS27L; RPS4; RPL8; RPL7; RPS25; RPS14; RPS17; RPS28; RPS16; RPL18A; RPS3; RPL14; RPS20; RPS2; RPS11; RPL28; RPS13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Leukocyte transendothelial migration</td>
<td>5E-09</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>VASP; ITGAM; MMP2; ACTN1; ITGB2; ACTN4; F11R; GNAI1; ACTB; RHOA; MYL12A; GNA12; ICAM1; RAP1A; RAC2; RAC1; MYL9; VCL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Amoebiasis</td>
<td>2E-08</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>ITGAM; RAB5C; LAMB3; ACTN1; ITGB2; FN1; SERPINB9; LAMC2; ACTN4; C8B; COL1A1; COL3A1; C8G; COL4A5; CD14; VCL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Glycine, serine and threonine metabolism</td>
<td>6E-10</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>GAMT; GATM; MAOA; PSAT1; CTH; CHDH; PGAM2; SARDH; PHGDH; DLD; ALDH7A1; GNMT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Pertussis</td>
<td>2E-08</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>C1QB; ITGAM; C1R; ITGB2; GNAI1; RHOA; GNA12; C4B; C3; C4A; C5; CFL1; CD14; C1QC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum</td>
<td>1E-06</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>HSAP5; RPN2; RPN1; RRBP1; EIF25I; PDAIA; HSP90B1; SEC61A1; DNAJB1; LMAN1; ERP29; STT3A; SSR1; HYOU1; SEC61B; P4HB; UBQLN2; HSP9A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Valine, leucine and isoleucine degradation</td>
<td>7E-07</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>ALDH6A1; DBT; AOX1; ABAT; HMGC52; DLD; ALDH7A1; HIBCH; ALDH9A1; ACAT1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>ECM-receptor interaction</td>
<td>3E-06</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>COL1A1; LAMB3; COL6A2; COL6A1; FN1; TNC; COL4A5; LAMC2; ITGAV; THBS1; THBS4; THBS3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

blot. All PDAC cell lines tested expressed high level of PDGFRβ (Fig. 2D and E) confirming the prominent role of PDGFRβ signaling in both tumours and tumour-derived cultures.

Chronic pancreatitis is a chronic inflammatory process of the pancreas that shares some morphological and molecular features with PDAC. An in-depth proteomic analysis shows chronic pancreatitis as an intermediary condition between normal pancreatic tissue and pancreatic cancer (Ger et al., 2018). Alongside PDAC and healthy samples, tissue samples from ten patients with chronic pancreatitis were analysed as a control of unspecific inflammatory processes. In chronic pancreatitis we found 171 differentially regulated proteins; 156 proteins were increased, 15 proteins were decreased. While proteomic analysis shows some upregulation of ECM production and integrin signaling in chronic pancreatitis samples, these processes are significantly more prominent in PDAC samples. We have not detected change in PDGFRβ, ILK, HIF-1α, and CXCR4 signaling pathways in chronic pancreatitis proving that upregulation of these processes is a specific feature of pancreatic cancer (data not shown).

In summary, the data shows that pancreatic cancer stimulates a variety of cell survival-related signaling pathways to develop chemotherapeutic drug resistance. The confirmed high expression of PDGFRβ receptor in primary cell cultures mirrors the importance of PDGFRβ signaling in PDAC tumours. Thus, we demonstrate that primary culture displays at least some of the defining features of pancreatic cancer suggesting primary cell culture as a valuable model for PDAC research.
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**DISCUSSION**

Low efficiency of current chemotherapeutic approaches is one of the major reasons of high mortality among pancreatic cancer patients. In this study we demonstrated the resistance of primary PDAC patient-derived cell cultures to conventional treatments with gemcitabine or FOLFIRINOX. The high throughput proteomic analysis confirms the overexpression of components of multiple signaling pathways providing cell survival in PDAC.

In this study we show that primary PDAC cell culture derived from patients exhibits
innate resistance to gemcitabine and FOLFIRINOX treatment. 5-fluorouracil fails to induce apoptosis in primary PDAC culture in contrast to the established pancreatic carcinoma cell line MiaPaCa-2, sensitive to 5-fluorouracil treatment. Therapy resistance of patient-derived cell cultures is in consistency with low response rate of gemcitabine reaching just up to 23% (Burris et al., 1997) and for FOLFIRINOX reaching up to 30% (Conroy et al., 2011). Lately, established cancer cell lines have been widely criticized for a number of shortcomings, namely, genetic drift due to a long cultivation time in vitro, inability to represent the tumour heterogeneity, and even multiple cases of contamination with other cultures (Pan et al., 2009; Rückert et al., 2012). Moreover, native pancreatic tumour is characterized by extreme desmoplastic reaction causing the formation of dense stroma around the tumour (Hwang et al., 2008; Apte et al., 2004). The stroma not only serves as a mechanistic barrier to anticancer compounds but also actively interacts with tumour cells modulating drug resistance, cell survival, epithelial-mesenchymal transition, and other malignant properties (Schnittert et al., 2019). That is why patient-derived cell cultures at early passages represent tumour-specific processes more precisely than purified standardized tumour line. Established PDAC cell lines are widely used in research into tumour sensitivity to gemcitabine and other drugs (Fryer et al., 2011). The drawbacks of such cultures and discrepancy with intratumoural processes illustrated in this study must be taken into account in future drug research. Moreover, patient-derived tumour cell cultures provide a unique opportunity for personalized treatment selection (Kodack et al., 2017).

The in-depth comparative proteomic analysis reveals interplay of multiple signaling pathways upregulated in PDAC: extensive ECM-integrin expression and interaction, signaling downstream of PDGFRβ, ErbB1, ILK, CXCR4, and HIF-1α transcription factor. The most abundant group of proteins with altered expression clusters into overlapping signaling pathways of a variety of integrins interacting with ECM components. ECM overexpression and integrin-ECM interactions play a major role in providing elevated tumour drug resistance compared to isolated established cancer cell lines (Stein et al., 2004). The signaling of β1-integrins enriched in our PDAC samples is known to provide radioprotection to pancreatic tumour cells by stimulating PI3K-AKT pathway (Hoshino et al., 2015). Another overexpressed set of regulatory pathways are signaling pathways from αMβ2, α4β1, α6β1, and α6β4 integrins. These integrins are known to provide cell adhesion and invasiveness by interaction with basement membrane laminin. Some of them also involved in a cooperative action with other signaling molecules, for example, α6β4 through interaction with ErbB2/HER2 receptor is required for PI3K-dependent invasion (Gambetta et al., 2000). We concurrently observe the abundance of PI3K-AKT pathway proteins in our dataset. In lung carcinoma exosomal α6β4 and α6β1 integrins were associated with metastatic formation (Hoshino et al., 2015). In summary, our data confirms the importance of ECM as a major factor in promoting PDAC progression and as a potential target for specific PDAC therapy (Weniger et al., 2018).

PDGFRβ in PDAC is expressed by tumour and stroma cells. In tumour cells, PDGFRβ drives pancreatic cancer invasion (Weissmueller et al., 2014). Higher expression of PDGFRβ in PDAC stroma is associated with patients’ lower survival (Yuzawa et al., 2012). PDGFRβ ligand PDGF-BB is a strong mitogen and activator of pancreatic stellate cells (Luttenberger et al., 2000). Moreover, in pancreatic stellate cells activated PDGFRβ signals through phosphorylation of Erk causing cell proliferation and ECM production (Jaster et al., 2002). Thus PDGFRβ contributes to PDAC malignancy and drug resistance directly by driving tumour cell proliferation and invasion and indirectly by stimulating ECM production and ECM-related enhanced cell survival.

We also observe overexpression of ErbB1/EGF receptor signaling pathway strongly overlapping with PDGFRβ signaling pathway. Overexpression and activation of EGF receptors
is characteristic of pancreatic tumour cells and tumour microenvironment cells and may occur at the earliest stages of tumour development (Zhu et al., 2007; Day et al., 1996). Increased signaling from EGF family receptors results in enhanced cell proliferation, migration, and epithelial-mesenchymal transition (Lindsey, Langhans, 2015). Epithelial-mesenchymal transition plays fundamental roles in pancreatic cancer progression and drug resistance (Gaianigo et al., 2017).

CONCLUSIONS

Here we demonstrate that tumour-derived primary PDAC cell cultures exhibit innate resistance to chemotherapy drugs gemcitabine and FOLFIRINOX in contrast to the drug-sensitive established cell line MiaPaCa-2. In-depth proteomic analysis of tumour samples shows the overexpression of ECM components, of ECM-interacting integrins, and of downstream components of a variety of signaling pathways that may facilitate enhanced cell survival and drug resistance. In particular, the signaling pathway of PDGFRβ is enriched in PDAC tumour samples. Expression of PDGFRβ in primary cell cultures confirms the matching of primary cell lines with tumours. Thus, this work demonstrates that primary tumour-derived cell cultures could be a better model for PDAC biology research and drug evaluation than established cell lines. Moreover, implementation of tumour-derived cell culture potentially facilitates drug selection for personalized patients’ therapy and also should be utilized in the discovery of the advanced PDAC target therapy research.
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