Investigation of stability of gold nanoparticles modified zinc-cobalt coating in an alkaline sodium borohydride solution

Aušrinė Zabielaitė*,

Dijana Šimkūnaitė,

Aldona Balčiūnaitė,

Birutė Šimkūnaitė-Stanynienė,

Irena Stalnionienė,

Leonas Naruškevičius,

Daina Upskuvienė,

Algirdas Selskis,

Loreta Tamašauskaitė-Tamašiūnaitė,

Eugenijus Norkus

State Research Institute Center for Physical Sciences and Technology, 3 Saulėtekio Avenue, 10257 Vilnius, Lithuania The electrochemical stability and durability of ZnCo alloy thin layers deposited on the titanium surface (denoted as ZnCo/Ti) and those modified by small amounts of Au nanoparticles (denoted as AuZnCo/Ti) prepared via the electrochemical metal deposition technique and a simple galvanic displacement have been investigated in alkaline sodium borohydride (NaBH₄) solutions. The physical properties of the fabricated AuZnCo/Ti catalysts have been examined using field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM), energy dispersive X-ray analysis (EDX) and inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES). The electrocatalytic activity of the ZnCo/Ti and AuZnCo/Ti catalysts toward the oxidation of NaBH₄ has been evaluated in an alkaline medium using cyclic voltammetry (CV) and chronoamperometry (CA), whereas the catalytic efficiency of the catalysts for the hydrolysis reaction of NaBH₄ has been also examined by measuring the amount of generated hydrogen via the classic water-displacement method.

It has been determined that the modification of the ZnCo alloy coating by Au nanoparticles apparently improves not only the morphology and structure of the catalyst, but also the activity and stability of the one for the oxidation of NaBH₄ in an alkaline medium as compared to those of ZnCo/Ti and bare Au. The AuZnCo/Ti catalysts that have Au loadings of 63 and 306 μ g cm⁻² give ca. 12 and 11, respectively, times higher NaBH₄ oxidation current densities as compared to those of the bare Au catalyst. Moreover, the AuZnCo/Ti catalysts catalyze the hydrolysis reaction of NaBH₄ in alkaline solutions.

Keywords: Au nanoparticles, ZnCo, electrochemical deposition, galvanic displacement, borohydride oxidation

INTRODUCTION

Serious global energy and environmental problems face the requirement to minimize the dependence on fossil fuel-fed systems and substitute them by alternative ones. Among the most fascinating systems are those based on the fuel cell that are progressively entering the market due to their intrinsic high efficiency, virtually pollutant-free and quiet operation, ultra-clean energy, fuel flexibility, reliability and scalability to a range of applications, from small electronics to large power plants. From that point of view, the direct borohydride fuel cell (DBFC) is regarded as a greatly promising one [1–3], especially,

^{*} Corresponding author. Email: ausrine.zabielaite@ftmc.lt

for realization of its potentials in portable and mobile electronic devices [2, 4, 5]. The comprehensive benefit of DBFCs is the fuel that is quite stable in an alkaline medium, generates environment-friendly and soluble in water oxidation products and has several strong advantages of safety, efficiency and energy density when compared to the other fuels used. However, some serious drawbacks concerning their successful commercialization related to a relatively high cost and scarcity of catalysts in a conjunction with their insufficient activity, durability and stability emerge. The best and the most commonly used catalysts in DBFCs are still the noble ones, including Au [6-10], Pd [11, 12] and particularly emphasizing Pt [9, 10, 13] that is yet top-ranked for the NaBH oxidation reaction (BOR). The necessity to overcome challenges towards DBFC's commercial viability related to a high cost and scarcity of the catalysts has initiated the search for alternative cheaper and more available substitutes with a high activity towards the BOR to replace the precious ones. Bi-metallic or multi-metallic systems with non-precious transition metals such as Au-Cu [14], Au-Co [15-17], Au-Fe [18], Au-Ni [19-21], Au-Zn [22], Ag-Cu [23], Pt-Cu [24], Pt-Co [25-27], Pt-Ni [27], Pt-Zn [28], Pd–Zn [29], Au/Co/Cu [16] and Cu–Ni–AuNi [30] have been developed. Recent electrochemical studies suggest that the employment of the Pt- or Aubased binary electrocatalysts, denoted as PtM or AuM (M = Co, Ni, Cu, Fe, Zn), not only permits controlling the content of the catalyst, but also definitely improves catalytic characteristics like activity and stability towards the BOR as compared to those of monometallic ones and, therefore, these catalysts are highly preferred [14, 17, 22, 26, 27, 31-36].

The necessity of cost-effective catalysts with a relatively more pronounced characteristic has generated the idea of a complete replacement of the precious metals in the catalyst. Excellent noble metal-free single-, double- and multiple-component catalytic materials for the BOR have been created and investigated. Complete replacement of the precious metal by a non-precious one in the binary catalyst followed by their supporting on a relevant non-precious substrate with pronounced outstanding properties has been tested. Nowadays, non-precious systems, such as Ni–Cr [37], Ni/ ZnNi/Ni/Cu [38] and CoNiMnB [39], are particularly attractive; however, they have not yet been adequately studied and call for further investigations. Alternative techniques of partial or full replacement of a non-precious metal by the precious one have also been used. The simple galvanic replacement of Zn by Au or Pd in the Ni–Zn coating enabled the fabrication of stable nanostructures of Cu/Ni/AuNi [30] or Ni/PdNi [40] with a reduced content of a noble metal that seem to be promising anode catalysts for the DBFCs.

In our previous work [45], we have found that an alternative noble metal-free binary system constituted of two non-precious metals such as a ZnCo alloy and modified with negligible amounts of Au nanoparticles shows electrocatalytic activity for the oxidation of NaBH₄ and hydrazine (N_2H_4) in an alkaline medium. Relaying on the necessity of the development of efficient low-cost stable and durable catalysts for DBFCs, in the present study we investigated the electrochemical stability and durability of ZnCo alloy thin layers deposited on the titanium surface (denoted as ZnCo/Ti) and those modified by a small amount of Au nanoparticles (denoted as AuZnCo/ Ti) in the alkaline NaBH₄ solutions. A simple twostep approach, which involves an electrochemical deposition of the ZnCo alloy coating onto the titanium surface followed by its modification with Au nanoparticles by the galvanic displacement technique [17, 20, 21, 35, 36, 41, 42], has been used for the preparation of Au nanoparticles modified ZnCo/ Ti catalyst. The electrocatalytic activity of the prepared catalysts has been investigated for the BOR in an alkaline medium using cyclic voltammetry (CV) and chronoamperometry (CA). Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM), energy dispersive X-ray analysis (EDX) and inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) have been used for the characterization of the morphology, structure and composition of the prepared catalysts.

EXPERIMENTAL

Chemicals

 $CoSO_4$, ZnO, HAuCl₄ and NaBH₄ were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Supply. H₂SO₄ and NaOH were purchased from Chempur Company. Titanium (Ti) foil of 99.7% purity and 0.127 mm thickness (Sigma-Aldrich) was used as a substrate. All chemicals were of analytical grade. Deionized water with a resistivity of 18.2 M Ω cm⁻¹ was used to prepare all the solutions.

Fabrication of catalysts

In this study, the ZnCo/Ti and AuZnCo/Ti catalysts were prepared in the same manner as described in Refs. [43-45]. Briefly, prior to the electrodeposition of ZnCo alloy coating on the Ti, the Ti plates with a geometric area of 2 cm² were degreased with acetone, pre-treated with SiC emery paper (grade 2500) and MgO powder and rinsed with deionized water. Then, the samples were etched in a diluted H_2SO_4 (1:1 vol) solution at 90°C temperature for 10 s and finally rinsed with deionized water. After that, the ZnCo coatings with a thickness of $\sim 5 \,\mu m$ were electroplated on the Ti surface using an electrolyte as described in Refs. [43, 44]. Then, Au nanoparticles were deposited on the as-prepared ZnCo/Ti surface via the galvanic displacement technique [45] by dipping of the latter catalyst into a solution containing 1 mM HAuCl₄ + 0.1 M HCl at 25°C temperature for 0.5, 1 and 5 min, respectively. The surface-to-volume ratio was 1.3 dm² l⁻¹. After plating, the samples were taken out, thoroughly rinsed with deionized water and air dried at room temperature. Then, the prepared catalysts were used for measurements of the BOR as well as for the hydrolysis reaction of NaBH, in an alkaline medium without any further treatment.

Physical characterization of catalysts

The morphology and composition of the fabricated catalysts were characterized using a SEM-focused ion beam facility (Helios Nanolab 650) equipped with an EDX spectrometer (INCA Energy 350 X-Max 20).

Au metal loadings in the catalysts were determined by ICP-OES measurements by recording the ICP optical emission spectra with an Optima 7000DV (Perkin Elmer) spectrometer at wavelengths of $\lambda = 267.595$ and 242.795 nm. For calibration, the standard solutions of 1.0 and 10.0 mg l⁻¹ were used (Perkin Elmer).

Electrochemical measurements

A conventional three-electrode electrochemical cell was used for electrochemical measurements for the BOR. The bare Au, AuZnCo/Ti and ZnCo/Ti catalysts were employed as working electrodes, a Pt sheet was used as a counter electrode and an Ag/AgCl/KCl (3 M KCl) electrode was used as reference. An Au-sputtered quartz crystal with a geometric area of 0.636 cm² was used as a bare gold electrode. All electrochemical measurements were

performed with a Metrohm Autolab potentiostat (PGSTAT100) using the Electrochemical Software (Nova 1.6.013). Continuous cyclic voltammograms (CVs) were recorded in a 0.05 M NaBH₄ + 1 M NaOH solution at a scan rate of 10 mV s⁻¹ at 25°C temperature. The presented current densities were normalized with respect to the geometric area of catalysts. All solutions were deaerated by argon for 30 min prior to the measurements.

Chronoamperometric measurements were carried out on the investigated catalysts in a 0.05 M NaBH₄ + 1 M NaOH solution at a constant potential value of -0.2 V for 2 min. The potential was initially held at open circuit for 10 s, then set to -0.2 V for 2 min.

Hydrogen generation measurements

The amount of generated hydrogen (H₂) was measured using the classic water-displacement method with the aim to determine the catalytic efficiency of the AuZnCo/Ti catalysts for the hydrolysis reaction of NaBH₄. Typically, a reaction solution containing 0.05 M NaBH, and 1 M NaOH was placed into a thermostatically controlled airtight flask fitted with an outlet for collection of the evolved H₂ gas. Then, the AuZnCo/Ti catalysts were dipped into the solution at the designated temperature in order to initiate the hydrolysis of NaBH₄. The water displaced from the calibrated cylinder connected to the reaction flask was continuously monitored during the performance of the reaction. In order to determine the activation energy, the rate of H₂ generation was measured at different solution temperatures (30–50°C).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Material characterization

In our previous work [45] we have investigated the electrochemical behaviour of ZnCo/Ti and AuZn-Co/Ti catalysts in NaBH₄ and hydrazine (N₂H₄) alkaline solutions and determined that both catalysts are active toward the oxidation reactions of NaBH₄ and N₂H₄. However, the stability and durability of the mentioned catalysts have not been thoroughly analysed in an alkaline medium and their activity toward the hydrolysis reaction of NaBH₄ remained short in detail. Therefore, following the work carried out previously, in this study we continue the investigation regarding the above-mentioned matters

and present a more detail analysis for the BOR in an alkaline medium. Since the anodic oxidation of NaBH, is a heterogeneous reaction, the changes in the structure and morphology of the surface of the anode material during the oxidation process is of basic importance. Therefore, it is meaningful and very reasonable to compare the physical properties of the as-prepared catalysts and those after some treatment in $NaBH_{4}$ solutions. For the sake of simplicity, we briefly overview the SEM images of the as-prepared ZnCo/Ti and AuZnCo/Ti catalysts as was described in detail in Ref. [45] and present them in Fig. 1 under different magnifications. It was found that the ZnCo coating fully covers the Ti surface and produces a compact structure with a spherical cluster surface that consists of a large number of smaller nodular-shaped grains ranging from ca. 0.5 to 2.5 µm in size (Fig. 1a). Dipping of the as-prepared ZnCo/Ti electrodes into the 1 mM HAuCl₄ + 0.1 M HCl solution for various time periods resulted in the deposition of Au nanoparticles that appear as bright crystallites and are homogeneously dispersed on the ZnCo surface (Fig. 1b-d). Moreover, Au nanoparticles sized ca. 16 to 50 nm were deposited on the ZnCo/Ti surface after dipping of ZnCo/Ti electrodes into the 1 mM HAuCl₄ + 0.1 M HCl solution for 0.5 and 1 min (Fig. 1b, c), whereas

dipping of the ZnCo/Ti electrode into the 1 mM $HAuCl_{4} + 0.1$ M HCl solution for 5 min resulted in the development of larger Au crystallites on the ZnCo coating surface sized in a range of ca. 50-200 nm (Fig. 1d). Although the Au nanoparticles are hardly discernible in Fig. 1b, c, their residence on the surface of the coating was confirmed by EDX analysis data and are summarized in Table 1. A significant amount of Zn and much lower amounts of Au and Co were determined on the surfaces of ZnCo/Ti and AuZnCo/Ti catalysts. As follows from the data in Table 1, the increase in the modification time of the ZnCo coating by Au nanoparticles leads to a higher amount of Au on the surface of the catalyst. The amounts of Au loadings on the fabricated catalysts were estimated to be 31, 63 and 306 µg cm⁻² corresponding to dipping times of 0.5, 1 and 5 min, respectively.

Table 1. Surface atomic composition of the as-prepared ZnCo/Ti and AuZnCo/Ti catalysts determined by EDX

Catalyst	Au deposition time, min	Element, at.%			
		Au	Zn	Co	Ti
ZnCo/Ti	-	-	81.32	18.48	0.22
AuZnCo/Ti	0.5	1.46	79.25	18.87	0.41
AuZnCo/Ti	1	3.30	74.81	21.48	0.41
AuZnCo/Ti	5	14.62	51.30	33.48	0.60



Fig. 1. FESEM images of the as-prepared ZnCo/Ti (a) and AuZnCo/Ti catalysts (b–d). The AuZnCo/Ti catalysts were prepared by dipping of ZnCo/Ti electrodes into a 1 mM HAuCl₄ + 0.1 M HCl solution at 25°C for 0.5 (b), 1 (c) and 5 (d) min. The insets represent the corresponding images under higher magnification

Electrochemical activity of catalysts for the BOR In order to determine the electrochemical behaviour of the as-prepared ZnCo/Ti and AuZnCo/Ti catalysts for the BOR, cyclic voltammetry and chronoamperometry measurements were performed in a NaBH₄ alkaline solution. The obtained results of the BOR on the ZnCo/Ti and AuZnCo/Ti catalysts were compared with each other and with those recorded on the bare Au electrode. Figure 2 presents typical CV results of the bare Au electrode recorded in a 0.05 M NaBH₄ + 1 M NaOH solution at a scan rate of 10 mV s⁻¹. The bare Au electrode is characterized by the onset potential E_{onset} of ca. -0.8 V and by a typical current peak (denoted as A) at a potential of ca. –0.4 V. Anodic peak A is attributed to the direct and complete eight-electron oxidation of BH_{4}^{-} ions (Eq. (1)), as discussed in Refs. [10, 46-49]:

$$BH_{4}^{-} + 8OH^{-} \rightarrow BO_{2}^{-} + 6H_{2}O + 8e^{-}.$$
 (1)

The production of H_2 and BH_3OH^- due to the direct heterogeneous hydrolysis presents a peak in the potential region of the first branch of the BOR wave [50, 51]. In Ref. [52] it is stated that the BOR on Au proceeds through the electrooxidation of BH_{3ads} species that originates from a partial dissociation of BH_4^- ions, during which the self-dehydrogenation of BH_4^- generates H_2 . The origin of H_2 production coming essentially from the dehydrogenation of BH_{3ads}



Fig. 2. CVs recorded on the bare Au catalyst in a 0.05 M NaBH₄ + 1 M NaOH solution at a scan rate of 10 mV s⁻¹, at 25°C (coloured online)

was monitored and confirmed by differential electrochemical mass spectrometry (DEMS) [53]. Following peak A, a wide-extended oxidation wave from ca. -0.4 up to 0.4 V on the bare Au catalyst appears and corresponds to the oxidation reaction of intermediates on a partially oxidized Au surface [10]. On the reverse potential scan, a sharp peak (denoted as **B**) is observed at ca. 0.2 V followed by a plateau in the potential region from 0.0 to -0.6 V. This peak corresponds to the oxidation of the adsorbed species such as BH₂OH⁻ or other borohydrides formed as intermediates during the oxidation of NaBH, in the forward scan [10, 51]. A significant decrease in current is observed in the subsequent scan cycles in the NaBH₄ solution (Fig. 2) that can be explained by the formation of intermediates that adsorb on the electrode and simultaneously poison the surface resulting in a diminished electrocatalytic activity of the bare gold electrode [10]. Figure 3 shows the electrochemical behaviour of the AuZnCo/Ti catalysts that have Au loadings of 31 (a), 63 (b) and 306 (c) μg_{Au} cm⁻² in a 0.05 M NaBH₄ + 1 M NaOH solution at a scan rate of 10 mV s⁻¹. The first potential cycles of NaBH, oxidation onto the AuZnCo/Ti catalysts are shown in Fig. 3a-c (lines 1). The dotted lines represent the CV results of the above-mentioned catalysts recorded in the background solution of 1 M NaOH (Fig. 3a-c, lines 2). Consecutive anodicgoing potential scans starting from the 2nd one for the same catalysts with the same loadings are presented in Fig. 3a'-c'. The data obtained in Fig. 3a-c suggest that the oxidation of NaBH, at all the AuZn-Co/Ti catalysts that have different Au loadings starts at a significantly more negative electrode potential E_{onset} of ca. -1.2 V as compared to that of bare Au (Fig. 2). The difference in E_{onset} equals to ca. 0.4 V and points to the presence of better fuel cells operation conditions at the AuZnCo/Ti surface. Unlike on Au, in the CVs recorded in a NaBH₄ solution on the different Au loading containing AuZnCo/Ti catalysts, a set of well-expressed anodic peaks is observed (Fig. 3). A well-defined anodic peak A0 at low potential values and another broad one that collapse into a strongly resolved doublet each of them labelled as A and A', respectively, can be observed in the CVs (Fig. 3a–c). The nature of anodic peak A0 recorded at AuZnCo/Ti could be ascribed to the oxidation of H₂ generated by the catalytic hydrolysis of NaBH₄ as well as to the oxidation of NaBH₄, similarly as at the ZnCo/Ti catalyst [44]. It



Fig. 3. The first scans of CVs recorded on the as-prepared AuZnCo/Ti catalysts with the Au loadings of 31 (a), 63 (b) and 306 (c) $\mu g_{Au} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ in 0.05 M NaBH₄ + 1 M NaOH (a–c, lines 1) and in 1 M NaOH (a–c, dotted lines 2) at a scan rate of 10 mV s⁻¹, at 25°C. (a'–c') Continuous positive-going potential scans starting from the second one for the same catalysts as in Fig. 3a–c (coloured online)

should be noted that anodic peak **A0** at the Zn/Ti catalyst occurs at ca. -1.27 V and is attributed to the electrooxidation of BH₄⁻ ions [54]. Meanwhile, anodic peak **A0** for the Co/Ti catalyst was earlier attributed only to the oxidation of H₂ generated by the catalytic hydrolysis of NaBH₄ [6, 55]. The same peak **A0** for metallic Co was assigned to the direct oxidation of BH₄⁻ ions [56]. Furthermore, since the oxidation of NaBH₄ proceeds in a strongly alkaline solution, so anodic peak **A0** may be also attributed to the formation of cobalt oxide and hydroxide according to the following reactions (Eqs. (2, 3)) [57, 58]:

Co + 2OH⁻ → Co(OH)₂ + 2e⁻,

$$E_0 = -0.918 \text{ V} \text{ (vs Ag/AgCl, pH 14)},$$
 (2)
Co + 2OH⁻ → CoO + H₂O + 2e⁻,
 $E_0 = -0.892 \text{ V} \text{ (vs Ag/AgCl, pH 14)}.$ (3)

In order to better understand the low-potential processes occurring at the AuZnCo/Ti catalyst we recorded CVs in a supporting 1 M NaOH solution and superimposed the first scans of CVs in 1 M NaOH (dotted lines 2) with the first scans in a 0.05 M NaBH $_4$ +1 M NaOH solution (lines 1) in Fig. 3a–c. It is clearly seen that anodic peaks A0 recorded in an alkaline NaBH₄ solution at AuZnCo/ Ti with different Au loadings are markedly higher as compared to those recorded using the same catalyst in a NaOH solution. These data indicate that the major part of the charge passed in an alkaline NaBH₄ solution at low potentials may be attributed to the oxidation utilization of fuel rather than the catalyst itself. At more positive potentials peak A emerges. It occurs almost in the same potential region as on the bare Au electrode [10, 46-49] and is reasonably attributed to the direct oxidation of NaBH, at the AuZnCo/Ti catalyst. On the backward-going cathodic scan, some oxidation peaks are also observed. They could be related to the oxidation of adsorbed intermediate species that are formed during the BOR in the forward scan as well as to the reactivation of the oxidized Co and Zn surfaces during the previous anodic scan.

Nevertheless, the CVs recorded in a NaBH₄ solution obtained at the AuZnCo/Ti catalyst containing different Au loadings are similar in shape (Fig. 3a-c); however, the electrochemical behaviour of the aforementioned catalysts for the BOR during the long-term cycling (Fig. 3a'-c') differs significantly. Current density values for anodic peaks A0 and A at the AuZnCo/Ti catalyst that has the Au loading of 31 $\mu g_{Au}\,\,cm^{-2}$ (Fig. 3a') appreciably increase after the first cycle applied; however, they fall down with continuous potential scans till they receive some stable state. Almost analogous behaviour of the ZnCo/Ti catalyst towards the BOR was determined in Ref. [44], except that the decrease in current during a longterm cycling was already observed from the first scan. One reason of such a decrease in current densities can be explained by the occurrence of NaBH₄ oxidation intermediate species that block the catalyst surface. On the other hand, the degradation of the catalyst should be taken into account. The observed feature points to the fact that the mentioned catalysts are not sufficiently stable and are inclined to degrade during the BOR.

A completely different tendency in current density changes under the same peaks during cycling is obtained on the catalysts that have higher Au loadings of 63 μ g_{Au} cm⁻² (Fig. 3b') and 306 μ g_{Au} cm⁻² (Fig. 3c'). The values of current densities under anodic peak **A** associated with the di-

rect oxidation of NaBH_4 for both catalysts increase and finally achieve almost stable ones after a few potential cycles applied, indicating a higher activity of the latter catalysts. Meanwhile, the current densities under anodic peak **A0** after the second scan decrease.

To get some further information on the changes in catalyst morphology and elemental composition during the cycling in the NaBH₄ solution, additional FESEM and EDX analysis was performed. Figure 4a-d presents the FESEM images of the same as-prepared ZnCo/Ti and AuZnCo/Ti catalysts as shown in Fig. 1a-d, but after 5 cycles treatment in a 0.05 M NaBH, + 1 M NaOH solution. The data in Fig. 1a and Fig. 4a evidence that the view changes drastically after the ZnCo coating undergoes a treatment in a 0.05 M NaBH₄ + 1 M NaOH solution by applying 5 cycles in the potential region from -1.2 to +0.6 V at a potential scan rate of 10 mV s⁻¹ at 25°C temperature. Continuous cycling in the NaBH₄ solution results in the degradation of a compact coating and leads to the development of an uneven ZnCo layer with larger spaces between agglomerated granules of ca. ~ several hundred nm in size directed both vertically and horizontally. Figure 4a clearly evidences the appearance of a highly porous structure leading to significant changes in the chemical composition of the coating. The porous structure could provide the multi-channel diffusion pathways and enhance the interaction between the electrode and the electrolyte. On the other hand, although the thick volumic active layers contain numerous sites for the reaction to proceed, these sites remain insufficiently fed by a 'fresh' NaBH₄ solution, since the layer feeding operates mainly only from the top of the surface [60]. However, the structure/thickness of the active layer as well as the nature and stability of the electrocatalyst is important in order to reach a high BOR efficiency.

The FESEM images of the same-prepared AuZnCo/Ti catalysts, as shown in Fig. 1b–d, after 5 cycles treatment in a 0.05 M NaBH₄ + 1 M NaOH solution are shown in Fig. 4b–d. They clearly indicate that the modification of the ZnCo layer by Au nanoparticles has a great influence on the morphology of the AuZnCo/Ti catalyst when compared to that of ZnCo/Ti surface analogously treated in the tested solution (Fig. 4a). It results in both decrease of larger spaces between



Fig. 4. FESEM images of the ZnCo/Ti (a) and AuZnCo/Ti (b–d) catalysts after 5 cycles treatment in a 0.05 M NaBH₄ + 1 M NaOH solution by applying a potential scan rate of 10 mV s⁻¹ in the potential region from –1.2 to +0.6 V, at 25°C. The insets represent the corresponding images under higher magnification

agglomerated granules and the number of agglomerated ones. Increasing the modification time of the ZnCo/Ti electrode by Au nanoparticles almost completely prevents the degradation of the modified catalyst during continuous cycling in a 0.05 M NaBH₄ + 1 M NaOH solution. Nevertheless, some separate features of porosity under the semblance of light-coloured spots are observed on the surface of the AuZnCo/Ti catalyst obtained by applying the shortest modification time periods (Fig. 4b), but they rather quickly disappear by prolonging the periods to 5 min (Fig. 4d). The presence of Au nanoparticles in the content of catalyst stabilizes the ZnCo/Ti catalyst and enables one to receive almost unchanged and stable AuZnCo/Ti catalysts, thus enhancing the utilization efficiency in order to provide considerably more stable catalytically active sites.

The data of the above FESEM images are supported by the EDX analysis and are summarized in Table 2. The presence of Zn and Co on the Ti surface was confirmed by energy dispersive X-ray analysis, irrespective of whether the ZnCo/Ti coating was exposed to cycling or not (compare Tables 1 and 2). The amount of Zn significally decreased from 81.32 to 14.7 at.%, meanwhile, the content of Co and Ti increased from 18.48 to 80.40 at.% and from 0.22 to 4.90 at.%, respectively, if 5 cycles treatment in a 0.05 M NaBH₄ + 1 M NaOH solution was ap-

Table 2. Surface atomic compositions of the ZnCo/Ti and AuZnCo/Ti catalysts after 5 cycles treatment in a 0.05 M NaBH₄ + 1 M NaOH solution by EDX. The ZnCo/Ti and AuZnCo/Ti catalysts are the same as in Fig. 4

Element, at.%			
i			
90			
20			
ł5			
16			
- i - } - 2			

plied. The increase in Ti content to 4.90 at.% indicates that the dissolution of Zn occurs not only from the top of the ZnCo coating, but also from all the volume of the layer. Such a phenomenon points to the fact that Zn dissolves from the layer during the cycling and leads to a significant degradation of the ZnCo/Ti coating, declaring that the ZnCo layer is not stable. These data are in line with our previous results [44], where current density in long-term cyclic voltammograms recorded at ZnCo/Ti were shown finally to decrease, which indicates that the ZnCo/Ti catalyst is not sufficiently stable during long-term cycling. Degradation of the catalyst under continuous cycling in a 0.05 M NaBH₄ + 1 M NaOH solution at a scan rate of 10 mV s⁻¹ was supposed to be related to the simultaneously occurring dissolution of Zn at higher potential values and

the changes in the surface structure of cobalt after disappearing of zinc.

Comparison of the elemental content of the asprepared AuZnCo/Ti catalysts modified at different time periods to those obtained after 5 cycles treatment in the testing solution has shown that the cycling leads to a lower amount of Zn dissolved from the AuZnCo/Ti catalyst when modification lasts for longer time periods. Finally, when the modification time of the catalyst in the 1 mM HAuCl₄ + 0.1 M HCl solution was extended to 5 min, the content of Zn in the AuZnCo/Ti catalyst remained unchanged whether a cycling was applied or not. It should be also noted that the cycling does not influence the amount of Au in the coating.

Relaying on the aforementioned FESEM and EDX data, we can conclude that the stabilization in current density under anodic peak A (Fig. 3b', c') correlates with the reciprocal relation in the changes between the amount of Au loading and the amount of Zn in the catalyst. The higher the loading of Au in the AuZnCo/Ti catalyst layer is, the greater amount of Zn remains in the catalyst after a continuous cycling in the NaBH₄ solution. It remains practically unchanged during the cycling when the Au loading equals 306 μg_{Au} cm⁻². The presence of sufficient quantities of Au particles in the catalyst layer prevents Zn escape from the surface and from the changes in surface morphology (that are observed in Fig. 4a after the Zn removal) simultaneously leading to the higher stability of the AuZnCo/Ti catalyst. It is evident that the doping of ZnCo layer by small amounts of more noble metal like Au determines the superior quality of the catalyst that highly depends on the amount of the Au loading used.

The comparison data of the 1st and 5th positive-potential going voltammograms recorded on the bare Au (line 1), ZnCo/Ti (a, line 2) and AuZnCo/Ti catalysts that have different Au loadings are shown in Fig. 5. It is clearly seen that the modification of the ZnCo/Ti catalyst with small amounts of Au nanoparticles results both in the potential shift to more negative potential values under anodic peak **A** with pronounced current peak splitting and to a significant increase in current density values under the both anodic peaks **A0** and **A**.

The electrochemical investigations revealed that the AuZnCo/Ti catalysts show the higher activity towards the processes occurring in the entire potential region that are typically attributed to the hydrolysis reaction of NaBH₄ and the oxidation of NaBH₄ as compared to those at the ZnCo/Ti catalyst and yet more on bare Au. About 1.5 and 2.6 times higher anodic current densities at the potential under peak **A** after the 1st cycle were observed at the AuZnCo/Ti catalyst that has the Au loading of 31 μ g_{Au} cm⁻² as compared with those at the ZnCo/Ti and bare Au catalysts, respectively. The AuZnCo/Ti catalyst containing Au loadings of 63 and 306 μ g_{Au} cm⁻² gives ca. 1.5 and 1.7 and ca. 2.6 and 3.0 times greater current densities than those at the ZnCo/Ti and the bare Au electrode, respectively.



Fig. 5. (a) The first positive-going potential scans for the bare Au (line 1), ZnCo/Ti (line 2) and AuZnCo/Ti catalysts with Au loadings of 31 (line 3), 63 (line 4) and 306 (line 5) μg_{Au} cm⁻² recorded in 0.05 M NaBH₄ + 1 M NaOH, at a potential scan rate of 10 mV s⁻¹, at 25°C. (b) The fifth positive-going potential scans for the same catalysts as in Fig. 5a (coloured online)

The aforementioned increase in current under peak A develops yet more during the cycling since anodic current values at the ZnCo/Ti and bare Au catalysts during the cycling fall down, while on the AuZnCo/Ti catalysts that have Au loadings of 63 and 306 $\mu g_{_{Au}} \mbox{ cm}^{_{-2}}$ grow up except the one with the Au loading of 31 μg_{Au} cm⁻². The increase in current for the aforementioned catalysts after the 5th cycle grows more than 2 times for both loadings (equals ca. 2.2 and 2.0 times higher, respectively) as compared to that of the ZnCo/Ti catalyst, and ca. 12.19 and 11.13 times as compared to that of the bare Au electrode in the potential region under peak A. Meanwhile, for the case when the Au loading was 31 μg_{Au} cm⁻² the increase in current as compared to that of the Au catalyst equals ca. 6.48 times, but almost no increase in current was observed as compared to that of the ZnCo/Ti catalyst. The enhancement of the catalytic abilities of the catalyst can be attributed to the higher Au loading and to the higher number of active sites on the catalyst surface because of Au-ZnCo interaction leading to higher activities for the BOR.

In order to compare the electrocatalytic activity of the investigated catalysts, the current density values were normalized in reference to Au loadings for each catalyst (Fig. 6). As evident, the NaBH₄ oxidation current density values normalized by Au loadings show that the highest Au mass activity for



Fig. 6. Positive-potential going scans for the AuZnCo/Ti catalysts recorded in 0.05 M NaBH₄ + 1 M NaOH at 25°C at a scan rate of 10 mV s⁻¹ and normalized by Au loadings for each catalyst (coloured online)

the BOR was obtained on the AuZnCo/Ti catalyst with the lowest Au loading of 31 μ g_{Au} cm⁻².

To assess the catalytic characteristics of activity and stability of the AuZnCo/Ti catalysts that have different Au loadings chronoamperometric measurements were performed in a NaBH₄ solution at a constant potential value of -0.2 V. The obtained data are shown in Fig. 7a. Figure 7b presents the chronoamperometric curves, which were normalized by Au loadings for each catalyst. The initial current drop-off is observed, possibly due to the formation of intermediate species such as BH₃OH⁻ or other borohydrides [10, 46–49] during the borohydride oxidation reaction. From Fig. 7 it follows that the current density values of the investigated



Fig. 7 (a) Chronoamperometric data for the bare Au (dotted line), ZnCo/Ti (dashed line) and AuZnCo/Ti catalysts with Au loadings of 31 (line 1), 63 (line 2) and 306 (line 3) $\mu g_{Au} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ in 0.05 M NaBH₄ + 1 M NaOH at -0.2 V. The potential was initially held at an open circuit for 10 s, then set to -0.2 V for 2 min. (b) The same data normalized by Au loadings for the AuZnCo/Ti catalysts (coloured online)

catalysts stabilize with time and remain constant at the end period of time (t = 120 s). The measured current densities for all the AuZnCo/Ti catalysts are higher than those for bare Au, indicating that the former nanostructured catalysts have a higher catalytic activity for the BOR. The normalized chronoamperograms by Au loadings for each catalyst suggest that the catalyst with the lowest Au loading is the most active even though the current slightly increases at t = 45 s after the potential was stepped to -0.2 V (Fig. 7b, line 1). Possibly, this is the close case as in the chronoamperogram for ZnCo/Ti (Fig. 7a, dashed line), where two consecutive electron transfer steps for the oxidation of two oxidizable species take place [6, 7]. An enhanced electrocatalytic activity of the as-prepared AuZnCo/Ti catalysts can be ascribed to the intrinsic electrocatalytic activity of the Au particles deposited on the ZnCo/Ti surface [34].

To get some information on the nature of anodic peak **A0** and the activity of the AuZnCo/Ti catalysts in the potential domain of peak **A0**, the activity of the AuZnCo/Ti catalysts that have Au loadings of 63 and 306 μ g_{Au} cm⁻² was investigated towards the hydrolysis of NaBH₄. Figure 8 shows the dependence of volume of hydrogen generated during the hydrolysis reaction of the NaBH₄ solution in an alkaline medium at those catalysts on the reaction temperature. The summarized data are given in Table 3. As evidenced from the data in Fig. 8, the rate of catalytic hydrolysis of NaBH₄ in alkaline solutions increases exponentially with the increase of the reaction temperature, and the maximum values of 200 and 150 L g⁻¹ min⁻¹ are obtained at the aforementioned



Fig. 8. H_2 generation from 15 ml of 0.05 M NaBH₄ + 1 M NaOH at different temperatures catalyzed by the AuZnCo/Ti catalysts that have Au loadings of 63 (a) and 306 (b) μg_{Au} cm⁻². (c) The Arrhenius plots for AuZnCo/Ti calculated from the rates of NaBH₄ hydrolysis in the same solution (coloured online)

catalysts at 50°C temperature. The temperature dependence on the hydrogen generation rate is expressed by the Arrhenius equation

$$k = Ae^{-Ea/RT},$$
(4)

where Ea is the activation energy (J), A is the frequency factor, and R is the general gas constant (8.314 J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹). In order to find the activation energy and frequency factor, the Arrhenius plots of ln(k) vs 1/T were constructed from the data presented in Fig. 8a, b and are given in Fig. 8c.

Table 3. Dependence of the hydrogen generation rate on temperature obtained at AuZnCo/Ti in a 0.05 M NaBH₄ + 1 M NaOH solution

<i>T</i> , ℃	H ₂ generation rate, ml g ⁻¹ _{Au} min ⁻¹			
	AuZnCo/Ti, 63 µg _{Au} cm ^{−2}	AuZnCo/Ti, 306 µg _{Au} cm ⁻²		
30	72	58		
40	117	105		
50	200	150		

The activation energy values calculated on the basis of the Arrhenius plot on the AuZnCo/Ti catalysts that have Au loadings of 63 (a) and 306 μg_{Au} cm⁻² (b) are 41.5 and 44 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively (Table 3). The comparison of the mentioned activation energies with those obtained on various catalysts reported in the literature is summarized in Table 4. It should be noted that the E_a values obtained on both AuZnCo/Ti catalysts with Au loadings of 63 and 306 $\mu g_{_{Au}}\,cm^{_2}$ in a highly stabilized $0.05 \text{ M NaBH}_{4} + 1 \text{ M NaOH solution are higher}$ than those obtained on the Co-B/TiO, framework $(30.93 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1})$ [62], Au₅₀Ni₅₀ BNPs $(30.3 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1})$ [65] and Au(Co)/Ti (37.9 kJ mol⁻¹) [17] (but stability of the former catalyst was noted to be satisfactory), whereas they are almost equal to those determined on ZnCo/Ti (40 kJ mol⁻¹) [44], Co powder (41.9 kJ mol⁻¹) [61] or Co-B/Cu (43.3 kJ mol⁻¹) [64]. Moreover, they are lower than those on Co-B/TiO₂ (51.6 kJ mol⁻¹) [63], Raney Co (53.7 kJ mol⁻¹) [61], Co/Ti (54 kJ mol⁻¹) [44] or $Au_{20}Ni_{80}$ BNPs (60.0 kJ mol⁻¹) [65]. The obtained data confirm that the AuZnCo/Ti catalysts catalyze the hydrolysis reaction of NaBH₄ in alkaline solutions.

CONCLUSIONS

This study is focused on the improving of the structure and operation characteristics of the costeffective ZnCo/Ti catalyst for the oxidation of NaBH₄ by modifying it with different amounts of Au crystallites. The activity of the prepared AuZnCo/Ti catalysts has been also examined toward the oxidation and hydrolysis of NaBH₄ in an alkaline medium. It has been determined that anodic current peaks attributed to the direct oxidation of NaBH₄ are significantly higher on the AuZnCo/Ti catalysts than those on the ZnCo/Ti catalysts and yet more on the bare Au. During the long-term cycling, the AuZnCo/Ti

Table 4. Comparison of hydrogen generation rates (HG) and activation energies (E_{i}) on various catalysts

	•					
Catalyst	<i>T,</i> ℃	Solution	HG, mL min ⁻¹ g ⁻¹	<i>E</i> a, kJ mol⁻¹	Ref.	
Co–B–TiO ₂ framework	30	1.5 wt% NaBH ₄ + 5 wt% NaOH	1980	30.93	[62]	
Co–B/TiO ₂	30	1 wt% NaBH ₄ + 3.75 wt% NaOH	12503	51.6	[63]	
Co-B/Cu sheet	20	5 wt% NaBH₄ + 1 wt% NaOH	7935.6	43.3	[64]	
Co powder	20	0.2 g NaBH ₄ + 10 wt% NaOH	126.2	41.9	[61]	
Raney Co	20	0.2 g NaBH ₄ + 10 wt% NaOH	267.5	53.7	[61]	
Co/Ti	55	0.05 M NaBH ₄ + 1 M NaOH	821	54	[44]	
Au(Co)/Ti	60	0.05 M NaBH ₄ + 1 M NaOH	22600	37.9	[17]	
Au ₂₀ Ni ₈₀ BNPs	30	30 mM NaBH₄ (pH 12)	2470	60.0	[65]	
Au ₅₀ Ni ₅₀ BNPs	30	30 mM NaBH₄ (pH 12)	2597	30.3	[65]	
ZnCo/Ti	55	0.05 M NaBH ₄ + 1 M NaOH	105	40.0	[44]	
AuZnCo/Ti, 63 μg _{Au} cm ⁻²	50	0.05 M NaBH ₄ + 1 M NaOH	200	41.5	This work	
AuZnCo/Ti, 306 μg _{Au} cm ⁻²	50	0.05 M NaBH ₄ + 1 M NaOH	150	44.0	This work	

catalysts that have Au loadings of 63 and $306 \ \mu g_{Au} \ cm^{-2}$ show enhanced electrocatalytic activity towards the oxidation of NaBH₄ as compared to those of the ZnCo/Ti or bare Au catalysts.

Furthermore, the modification of the ZnCo/Ti catalyst by Au nanoparticles has a great influence on the improving morphology of the AuZnCo/Ti catalyst, since the ZnCo layer supported on Ti is prone to degrade if it is subjected to the electrochemical treatment in the NaBH₄ solution. Modification allows the AuZnCo/Ti catalyst not only to maintain a more stable coating during the continuous cycling in a NaBH₄ solution as compared to the asprepared ZnCo/Ti, but also to reduce the cost of the catalyst as compared to that of bare Au.

The chronoamperometry studies also confirm the higher electrocatalytic activity of the AuZnCo/ Ti catalysts for the oxidation of NaBH₄ as compared to those of the bare Au electrode.

It was shown that the AuZnCo/Ti catalysts catalyze the hydrolysis reaction of $NaBH_4$ in alkaline solutions.

Received 5 August 2020 Accepted 31 August 2020

References

- 1. D. M. F. Santos, C. A. C. Sequeira, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, **15**, 3980 (2011).
- 2. J.-H. Wee, J. Power Sources, 155, 329 (2006).
- C. Ponce de Leon, F. C. Walsh, D. Pletcher, D. J. Browning, J. B. Lakeman, *J. Power Sources*, 155, 172 (2006).
- 4. J. Ma, N. A. Choudhury, Y. Sahai, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, **14**, 183 (2010).
- 5. J.-H. Wee, J. Power Sources, 161, 1 (2006).
- D. M. F. Santos, C. A. C. Sequeira, *Electrochim. Acta*, 55, 6775 (2010).
- D. M. F. Santos, C. A. C. Sequeira, J. Electrochem. Soc., 157, F16 (2010).
- F. Yang, K. Cheng, K. Ye, et al., *Electrochim. Acta*, 115, 311 (2014).
- 9. F. H. B. Lima, A. M. Pasqualeti, M. B. Molina Concha, et al., *Electrochim. Acta*, **84**, 202 (2012).
- 10. E. Gyenge, Electrochim. Acta, 49, 965 (2004).
- 11. M. Simoes, S. Baranton, C. Coutanceau, J. Phys. Chem. C, 113, 13369 (2009).
- 12. L. Yi, Y. Song, X. Wang, et al., *J. Power Sources*, **205**, 63 (2012).
- 13. L. Yi, Y. Song, W. Yi, et al., *J. Hydrogen Energy*, **36**, 11512 (2011).
- L. Yi, Y. Song, X. Liu, et al., *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 36, 15775 (2011).

- 15. S. Li, L. Wang, J. Chu, H. Zhu, et al., *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, **41**, 8583 (2016).
- Z. Sukackienė, L. Tamašauskaitė-Tamašiūnaitė, A. Balčiūnaitė, et al., *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 162, H734 (2015).
- L. Tamašauskaitė-Tamašiūnaitė, A. Jagminienė, A. Balčiūnaitė, et al., *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 38, 14232 (2013).
- 18. L. Yi, W. Wei, C. Zhao, et al., *J. Power Sources.* **285**, 325 (2015).
- D. Duan, J. Liang, H. Liu, et al., *Int. J. Hydrogen* Energy, 40, 488 (2015).
- L. Tamašauskaitė-Tamašiūnaitė, A. Balčiūnaitė, D. Šimkūnaitė, A. Selskis, *J. Power Sources*, 202, 85 (2012).
- L. Tamašauskaitė-Tamašiūnaitė, A. Balčiūnaitė, R. Čekavičiūtė, A. Selskis, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 159, B611 (2012).
- P. He, X. Wang, P. Fu, et al., *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 36, 8857 (2011).
- 23. D. Duan, H. Liu, X. You, et al., *J. Power Sources*, **293**, 292 (2015).
- 24. L. Jing, Q. Zhao, S. Chen, et al., *Electrochim. Acta*, 171, 96 (2015).
- A. Balčiūnaitė, Z. Sukackienė, L. Tamašauskaitė-Tamašiūnaitė, et al., *Electrochim. Acta*, 225, 255 (2017).
- 26. L. Yi, L. Liu, X. Liu, et al., *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, **37**, 12650 (2012).
- 27. B. Šljukić, J. Milikić, D. M. F. Santos, C. A. C. Sequeira, *Electrochim. Acta*, **107**, 577 (2013).
- L. Yi, W. Wei, C. Zhao, et al., *Electrochim. Acta*, 158, 209 (2015).
- J. Liu, L. Yi, X. Wang, et al., *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 40, 7301 (2015).
- M. G. Hosseini, M. Abdolmaleki, F. Nasirpouri, Electrochim. Acta, 114, 215 (2013).
- 31. F. Pei, Y. Wang, X. Wang, et al., *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, **35**, 8136 (2013).
- L. Tamašauskaitė-Tamašiūnaitė, A. Balčiūnaitė, A. Zabielaitė, et al., *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 700, 1 (2013).
- 33. P. He, Y. Wang, X. Wang, et al., *J. Power Sources*, **196**, 1042 (2011).
- P. He, X. Wang, Y. Liu, et al., *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 37, 11984 (2012).
- 35. S. Papadimitriou, A. Tegou, E. Pavlidou, et al., *Electrochim. Acta*, **53**, 6559 (2008).
- A. Tegou, S. Papadimitriou, I. Mintsouli, et al., Catal. Today, 170, 126 (2011).
- 37. D. M. Yu, Y. Shen, Z. Ye, et al., *Chin. Sci. Bull.*, **58**, 2435 (2013).
- M. G. Hosseini, M. Abdolmaleki, S. Ashrafpoor, *Chin. J. Catal.*, 33, 1817 (2012).
- 39. M. Mitov, G. Hristov, E. Hristova, et al., *Environ. Chem. Lett.*, **7**, 167 (2009).
- M. G. Hosseini, M. Abdolmaleki, *Int. J. Hydrogen* Energy, 38, 5449 (2012).

- 41. D. Gokcen, S. E. Bae, S. R. Brankovic, *J. Electrochem.* Soc., 157, D582 (2010).
- 42. D. Gokcen, S. E. Bae, S. R. Brankovic, *Electrochim. Acta*, **56**, 5545 (2011).
- 43. S. Lichušina, A. Chodosovskaja, A. Sudavičius, et al., *Chemija*, **19**, 25 (2008).
- L. Tamašauskaitė-Tamašiūnaitė, A. Balčiūnaitė, S. Lichušina, et al., *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 162, F348 (2015).
- 45. A. Zabielaitė, A. Balčiūnaitė, D. Šimkūnaitė, et al., *Chemija*, **30**, 136 (2019).
- 46. M. V. Mirkin, A. J. Bard, Anal. Chem., 63, 532 (1991).
- M. V. Mirkin, H. Yang, A. J. Bard, J. Electrochem. Soc., 139, 2212 (1992).
- M. Chatenet, F. H. B. Lima, E. A. Ticianelli, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **157**, B697 (2010).
- A. Igaszak, D. C. W. Kannangara, V. W. S. Lam, L. Gyenge, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 160, H47 (2013).
- P. Krishnan, T.-H. Yang, S. G. Advani, A. K. Prasad, J. Power Sources, 182, 106 (2008).
- 51. A. M. Pasqualeti, P.-Y. Olu, M. Chatenet, F. H. B. Lima, *ACS Catal.*, **5**, 2778 (2015).
- P.-Y. Olu, A. Bonnefont, G. Braesch, et al., *J. Power Sources*, 375, 300 (2018).
- 53. Z. Jusys, R. J. Behm, *Electrochem. Commun.*, **60**, 9 (2015).
- 54. D. M. F. Santos, C. A. C. Sequeira, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **157**, B13 (2010).
- 55. D. Zhang, K. Ye, D. Cao, et al., *Electrochim. Acta*, **156**, 102 (2015).
- S. Amendola, P. Onnerud, M. T. Kelly, M. Binder, *Talanta*, 49, 267 (1999).
- W. K. Bell, J. E. Toni, J. Electroanal. Chem., 31, 63 (1971).
- 58. L. D. Burke, M. E. Lyons, O. J. Murphy, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, **132**, 247 (1982).
- 59. D. A. Finkelstein, R. Imbeault, S. Garbarino, et al., *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **120**, 4717 (2016).
- 60. P.-Y. Olu, C. Barros, N. Job, M. Chatenet, *Electrocatal.*, **5**, 288 (2014).
- 61. B. H. Liu, Z. P. Li, S. Suda, *J. Alloy Compd.*, **415**, 288 (2006).
- 62. J. Cheng, C. Xiang, Y. Zoun, et al., Ceramics International, 41, 899 (2015).
- 63. Y-C. Lu, M-S. Chen, Y-W. Chen, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, **37**, 4254 (2012).
- Y. Wang, T. Li, S. Bai, et al., *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 41, 276 (2016).

65. X. Wang, S. Sun, Z. Huang, et al., *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, **39**, 905 (2014).

Aušrinė Zabielaitė, Dijana Šimkūnaitė, Aldona Balčiūnaitė, Birutė Šimkūnaitė-Stanynienė, Irena Stalnionienė, Leonas Naruškevičius, Daina Upskuvienė, Algirdas Selskis, Loreta Tamašauskaitė-Tamašiūnaitė, Eugenijus Norkus

AUKSO NANODALELĖMIS MODIFIKUOTOS CINKO-KOBALTO DANGOS STABILUMO TYRIMAS ŠARMINIAME NATRIO BOROHIDRIDO TIRPALE

Santrauka

Darbe buvo tirtas Au nanodalelėmis modifikuotos ZnCo dangos, nusodintos ant titano paviršiaus (AuZnCo/Ti), stabilumas šarminiame natrio borohidrido (NaBH₄) tirpale. AuZnCo/Ti katalizatoriai, turintys skirtingą Au įkrovą, buvo formuojami panaudojus elektrocheminį metalų nusodinimo ir galvaninio pakeitimo metodus. Gautų katalizatorių paviršiaus morfologija ir struktūra buvo tirta skenuojančios elektroninės mikroskopijos, rentgeno spindulių energijos dispersinės analizės ir indukciškai susietos plazmos optinės emisijos spektroskopijos metodais. Katalizatorių elektrokatalizinis aktyvumas NaBH, oksidacijos reakcijai buvo tirtas taikant ciklinę voltamperometriją ir chromoamperometriją. NaBH, hidrolizės reakcija šarminėje terpėje buvo tirta ant AuZnCo/Ti katalizatorių matuojant išsiskyrusio vandenilio tūrį vandens pakeitimo metodu.

Nustatyta, kad ZnCo dangos modifikavimas Au nanodalelėmis žymiai pagerina dangų morfologiją ir struktūrą. AuZnCo/Ti katalizatoriai, turintys nusodinto Au įkrovą 31, 63 ir 306 µg cm⁻², yra daug stabilesni NaBH₄ tirpaluose ir pasižymi didesniu elektrokataliziniu aktyvumu NaBH₄ oksidacijos reakcijai, palyginti su nemodifikuotu ZnCo/Ti katalizatoriumi, o ypač lyginant su gryno Au katalizatoriumi. Be to, kai AuZn-Co/Ti katalizatoriai, kuriuose nusodinto Au įkrova yra 63 ir 306 µg cm⁻², generuoja atitinkamai apie 12 ir 11 kartų didesnes NaBH₄ oksidacijos srovės tankio vertes, palyginti su vertėmis, išmatuotomis ant gryno Au katalizatoriaus.

Parodyta, kad Au nanodalelėmis modifikuoti ZnCo/Ti katalizatoriai pasižymi kataliziniu aktyvumu NaBH₄ hidrolizės reakcijai šarminiuose tirpaluose.