POLARIZATION DEPENDENCE OF HOLOGRAPHIC RECORDING IN GLASSY AZOCOMPOUNDS

A. Ozols, V. Kokars, P. Augustovs, I. Uiska, K. Traskovskis, G. Mezinskis, A. Pludons, and D. Saharov

Faculty of Materials Science and Applied Chemistry, Riga Technical University, Azenes 14/24, LV-1048 Riga, Latvia E-mail: aozols@latnet.lv

Received 25 August 2009; revised 6 March 2010; accepted 19 March 2010

Polarization dependence of holographic grating recording in glassy molecular azobenzene films **8a**, **11**, and **16** has been experimentally studied at 633 and 532 nm with s–s, p–p, CE-1 and CE-2 circular–elliptic (differing by light electric field rotation directions) recording beam polarizations. Samples **8a** and **11** with the simplest chemical structure were the most efficient at 633 nm, and sample **8a** was the most efficient at 532 nm. Self-diffraction efficiency (SDE) up to 45% was achieved in **8a** with p–p polarized recording beams at 633 nm. Linear p–p polarizations were the most efficient at 633 nm whereas CE-1 polarizations were the best at 532 nm. It was found that the light polarization changes in the process of diffraction depend on chemical composition, wavelength, and exposure time. Vector gratings with SDE up to 25% were recorded in **8a** rotating a linear polarization by 90°. Mainly erasure of gratings took place with one beam. Coherent self-enhancement of gratings was observed only for s–p and both CE polarizations in **8a** at 532 nm and for s–p polarizations at 633 nm. Atomic force microscopy measurements also were made. The evidence is found for *trans–cis* photoisomerization holographic recording mechanism at both 532 and 633 nm. Studied films can be applied for production of polarization holographic optical elements and for permanent optical information recording.

Keywords: molecular glassy azobenzene films, holographic gratings, light polarization, diffraction anisotropy

PACS: 72.80.Le, 78.47.jj, 78.20.-e

1. Introduction

There is a growing interest to organic materials for the applications in holography and nonlinear optics because organic materials enable much easier tailoring of their properties than inorganic ones since there are about 15 millions of organic compounds compared to only about one million of inorganic compounds [1–4]. Azocompounds are among the most efficient holographic recording materials [1, 4, 5]. Usually, UV, blue, or green light is used for recording [1, 4, 5] since absorption at longer wavelengths is too low. However, the extension of the spectral range to the red region is of theoretical and practical interest. As is known from laser theory, the lasing processes dictate that energy requirements scale as $\sim \lambda^{-4}$ where λ is the wavelength of the laser radiation. Therefore, red lasers are cheaper than blue-green and UV lasers. Besides, with a red light a higher quality of volume holographic optical elements can be achieved because recording materials mainly absorb in the blue or green spectral region, and a more homogeneous exposure through the volume can be obtained with a red light.

The mechanisms of the photoinduced processes in the red spectral range are still unclear [2, 4, 6]. Recently we have found that quite efficient holographic recording is possible by a red He-Ne laser light in stilbene azobenzene derivatives including surface relief grating (SRG) recording with 226 nm thickness change [7, 8]. The conclusion was made that, most probably, the azochromophore photodegradation mechanism was responsible for SRG recording but other mechanisms including trans-cis photoisomerization could contribute as well. In this paper, we have continued experimental studies of the best stilbene azobenzene derivative 8a (the precise chemical designation of this and other chemical structures will be given below) widening the recording light spectral and polarization range. Besides, new glassy molecular compounds 11 and 16 have been studied as well. Holographic gratings have been recorded with different polarizations and their efficiency is compared. Polarization changes were monitored in the process of diffraction. Vector

[©] Lithuanian Physical Society, 2010

[©] Lithuanian Academy of Sciences, 2010



Fig. 1. Chemical structure of the sample 8a.



Fig. 2. Chemical structure of the sample 11.



Fig. 3. Chemical structure of the sample 16.

holograms rotating the readout beam polarization were recorded. The possibility of coherent self-enhancement of gratings during readout by one of the recording beams was studied. In some cases the recorded gratings were measured by AFM and SRG were found. Among other results, these studies have given the evidence that red light induced *trans–cis* photoisomerization is possible.

2. Samples and experimental procedure

Studied azobenzene molecular glasses were synthesized and characterized in our faculty [9]. In this paper the three best of them are described, namely, **8a** or 3-(4-(bis(2-(trityloxy)ethyl)amino)phenyl)-2-(4-(2bromo-4-nitrophenyl) diazenyl)phenyl)acrylonitrile, **11** or 4-((2-bromo-4-nitrophenyl)diazenyl)-N,N-bis(2-(triphenylsilyloxy)ethyl)benzenamine, and **16** or 2-(ethyl (4-((2-nitro-4-triphenyl)diazenyl) phenyl) amino) ethyl-4-((4-bis(2-(trityloxy)ethyl)amino)phenyl) diazenyl)-3nitrobenzoate. Their chemical structure is shown in Figs. 1–3. Thin films of these glasses were spin-coated on glass substrates. Their absorption spectra and thickness were measured. The absorption spectra were measured by Perkin Elmer Lambda 35 Spectrometer. The thickness of the films was measured by mechanical contact method using "Kafer Messuhrenfabrik GmbH & Co. KG" device having precision of about 0.7 μ m. It was found that the long wavelength maxima (λ_{max}) of the samples corresponding to their *trans* forms were situated at 510, 507, and 469 nm, respectively. The film thicknesses were 1.6, 2, and 3 μ m. The size of the samples was about 7×7 cm². We took one sample of each glass.

Holographic gratings with the period of 2 μ m were recorded and read out either by KLASTECH DENI-CAFC 532-300 diode pumped solid state laser (recording and readout wavelengths $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 532$ nm) or by Melles Griot 25LH928-230 He-Ne gas laser ($\lambda_1 =$ $\lambda_2 = 632.8 \approx 633$ nm) with two equally strong unfocused beams. The lasers operated in CW mode. The $1/e^2$ beam diameters of these lasers were 1.80 and 1.47 mm, respectively. The recording light intensity (I)was $1.2-1.5 \text{ W/cm}^2$. Thus holographic gratings were recorded at the long wavelength side of the trans form absorption peak. Linear s-s, p-p, s-p, and circularelliptic (due to different $\lambda/4$ plates) CE-1 and CE-2 recording beam light polarizations were used (Figs. 4-8). The circular beam was either with left or right rotation direction, the elliptic polarization was with the ratio of axes 1:2. Our experiments (taking into account the recording efficiency) indicate that, most probably, CE-1 polarizations had opposite rotation directions but CE-2 had the same rotation directions. First-order selfdiffraction efficiency SDE was continuously measured as the function of exposure time (t) by OPHIR Nova II or Laserstar power meter and stored in the PC memory. SDE is a ratio of a first-order diffracted beam power to the power of the more distant recording beam without the interruption of recording beams. The mathematical relation between SDE and DE is described in [10]. In our experiments we have estimated that SDE \approx (0.5–1.6)DE. The final diffraction efficiency, $\mathrm{DE}_\mathrm{f},$ was also measured. The holographic set-up was mounted on STANDA holographic table with the vibration isolation system. From these measurements the specific recording energies $W_{\text{max}} = I t_{\text{max}} / \text{SDE}_{\text{max}}$ and $W_{\rm f} = I t_{\rm f} / \text{DE}_{\rm f} [\text{J}/(\text{cm}^2\%)]$ were found. In order to characterize the recording efficiency by one parameter we introduced also the recording efficiency factors $\text{REF}_{\text{max}} = \text{SDE}_{\text{max}}/W_{\text{max}}$ and $\text{REF}_{\text{f}} = \text{DE}_{\text{f}}/W_{\text{f}}$



Fig. 4. Holographic recording set-up with s-s polarizations of recording beams.



Fig. 5. Holographic recording set-up with $\operatorname{p-p}$ polarizations of recording beams.



Fig. 6. Holographic recording set-up with s-p polarizations of recording beams.



Fig. 7. Holographic recording set-up with CE-1 polarizations of recording beams.



Fig. 8. Holographic recording set-up with CE-2 polarizations of recording beams.

 $[(\operatorname{cm} \%)^2/\mathrm{J}]$. We estimate that accuracy of determined SDE, DE, and W values are in the 5–10% range.

Polarization of diffracted beams in the course and after the s-p recording with orthogonally polarized beams was studied in order to evaluate the possibility of the recording of vector holograms. Besides, the possibility of coherent holographic grating selfenhancement by one of the recording beams [2] was studied as well for all recording beam polarizations.

Apart from holographic measurements atomic force microscope (AFM, type SPM II VEECO) studies of some recorded gratings were made, too. All the experiments were carried out at room temperature.



Fig. 9. SDE exposure time dependence for the sample **8a** in the case of s–s recording beam polarizations at 633 nm.

3. Results and discussion

The results of holographic measurements are presented in Figs. 9–18 and Tables 1–4. No measurements

Polarization	${ m SDE}_{ m max},$ %	$W_{\rm max}, {\rm kJ/(cm^2\%)}$	$\mathrm{REF}_{\mathrm{max}},(\mathrm{cm}\%)^2/kJ$	$\mathrm{DE}_\mathrm{f},\%$	$W_{\rm f}, {\rm kJ/(cm^2\%)}$	$\mathrm{REF}_{\mathrm{f}},(\text{cm}\%)^2/kJ$
s–s	3.50	3.0	1.2	4.0	2.6	1.5
p–p	45.0	0.23	196	12.4	0.84	15
s–p	25.0	0.43	59	11.1	0.96	12
CE-1	21.0	0.27	79	4.2	1.70	2.5
CE-2	16.0	0.60	27	10.0	0.72	14

Table 1. Holographic parameters of the sample 8a at 633 nm.

Table 2. Holographic parameters of the sample 8a at 532 nm.

Polarization	$\mathrm{SDE}_{\mathrm{max}},\%$	$W_{\rm max}, {\rm kJ/(cm^2\%)}$	$\mathrm{REF}_{\mathrm{max}}$, (cm %) ² /kJ	$\mathrm{DE}_\mathrm{f},\%$	$W_{\rm f}, {\rm kJ/(cm^2\%)}$	$\mathrm{REF}_\mathrm{f}, (\text{cm}\%)^2/kJ$
s–s	2.50	3.5	0.71	1.4	6.0	0.23
p–p	3.80	1.2	3.2	2.0	3.5	0.57
s–p	5.30	1.6	3.3	0.58	15	0.039
CE-1	32	0.28	114	12	0.76	16
CE-2	4.5	9.1	0.49	7.5	1.2	6.3

Table 3. Holographic parameters of the sample 11 at 633 nm.

Polarization	${\rm SDE}_{\rm max},\%$	$W_{\rm max}, {\rm kJ/(cm^2\%)}$	$\mathrm{REF}_{\mathrm{max}},(\text{cm}\%)^2/kJ$	$\mathrm{DE_{f}},\%$	$W_{\rm f}, {\rm kJ/(cm^2\%)}$	$\mathrm{REF}_\mathrm{f}, (cm\%)^2/kJ$
s–s	1.30	8.0	0.16	4.40	2.40	1.8
p–p	31.0	0.16	195	11.6	0.44	26
s–p	14.0	0.51	28	11.9	0.90	13
CE-1	15.5	0.45	34	15.0	0.52	29
CE-2	3.25	2.9	1.1	1.80	4.0	0.45

Table 4. Holographic parameters of the sample 16 at 532 nm.

Polarization	$\mathrm{SDE}_{\mathrm{max}},\%$	$W_{\rm max}, {\rm kJ/(cm^2\%)}$	$\mathrm{REF}_{\mathrm{max}},(\mathrm{cm}\%)^2/kJ$	$\mathrm{DE}_\mathrm{f},\%$	$W_{\rm f}, {\rm kJ/(cm^2\%)}$	$\mathrm{REF}_\mathrm{f}, (\text{cm}\%)^2/kJ$
s—s	0.017	509	0.000033	0.019	455	0.000042
p–p	0.020	335	0.000060	0.012	720	0.000017
s–p	0.043	117	0.00037	0.0073	1200	0.0000061
CE-1	4.9	1.2	4.1	3.6	2.5	1.4
CE-2	0.36	17	0021	0.44	21	0.021



Fig. 10. SDE exposure time dependence for the sample **8a** in the case of p–p recording beam polarizations at 633 nm.

were made with sample **11** at 532 nm and with sample **16** at 633 nm. The reason was the very strong absorption of sample **11** at 532 nm excluding any measurements in transmission geometry. On the contrary, the transmittance of sample **16** was so high (about 0.9) that no recording at 633 nm was possible. One can see from



Fig. 11. SDE exposure time dependence for the sample **8a** in the case of s–p recording beam polarizations at 633 nm.

the SDE exposure time dependences for samples 8a and 11 that in the majority of them the maximum SDE is not reached even after two hours. Only in the case of sample 16 the maxima were reached. However, the photosensitivity is rather low in all cases and recording energies W_{max} and W_{f} are high, as seen also from



Fig. 12. SDE exposure time dependence for the sample **8a** in the case of CE-1 recording beam polarizations at 633 nm.



Fig. 13. SDE exposure time dependence for the sample **8a** in the case of CE-2 recording beam polarizations at 633 nm.



Fig. 14. SDE exposure time dependence for the sample **8a** in the case of s–s recording beam polarizations at 532 nm.



Fig. 15. SDE exposure time dependence for the sample **8a** in the case of p-p recording beam polarizations at 532 nm.



Fig. 16. SDE exposure time dependence for the sample **8a** in the case of s-p recording beam polarizations at 532 nm.



Fig. 17. SDE exposure time dependence for the sample **8a** in the case of CE-1 recording beam polarizations at 532 nm.



Fig. 18. SDE exposure time dependence for the sample **8a** in the case of CE-2 recording beam polarizations at 532 nm.

Tables 1–4. The oscillating behaviour of SDE curves is due to the residual set-up instabilities causing transient energy transfer between the recording beams and recording mechanism.

One can see from Tables 1–4 that efficiencies of samples **8a** and **11** are comparable at 633 nm but sample **8a** is the most efficient at 532 nm. SDE up to 45% was reached in **8a**, and DE_f up to 15% was reached in **11** at 633 nm. At 532 nm, the highest SDE_f values of 32 and 7.5%, respectively, were achieved in **8a**. The difference in SDE and DE values at 633 and 532 nm can be partially explained by higher absorption at 532 nm. As a rule, 633 nm recording was

more efficient than 532 nm one but the difference was not very large even with notably higher absorption at 532 nm (e. g., absorption coefficient of sample **8a** was about 5 times higher at 532 nm than at 633 nm). Sample **16** having the most complicated chemical structure (compare Fig. 3 and Figs. 1, 2) was the worst. One can conclude from the obtained results that recording wavelength closer to λ_{max} of the sample correlates with a more efficient recording when absorption is taken into account. However, as will be seen further, this regularity is more subtle.

When efficiency of different recording beam polarizations is compared one can see from Tables 1–4 that p-p polarizations of the recording beams are the most efficient at 633 nm whereas CE-1 polarizations are most efficient at 532 nm. This means that recording mechanisms differ at 633 and 532 nm.

As mentioned above, AFM measurements of the periodic surface relief changes photoinduced by s-s polarizations have been made. Maximum thickness changes of 25 nm were found in **8a** at 532 nm whereas in the sample **11** they were 20 nm at 532 nm and 12 nm at 633 nm. Thus higher thickness changes were created by 532 nm light.

Diffracted light polarization changes (anisotropic diffraction) were studied in the case of s-p recording when there is no intensity modulation of the recording interference pattern but only the polarization modulation. Vector holographic gratings with SDE up to 25% and DE up to 12% were recorded in samples 8a and **11** at 633 nm. The following features have been found. First, the very existence of polarization changes in the process of diffraction depends on the sample chemical composition and recording wavelength. Thus polarization changes took place in samples 8a and 11 at 633 nm and in sample 16 at 532 nm in the first diffraction orders but did not take place in the zeroth and the second diffraction orders both with two and one readout beam. No polarization changes were observed in sample 8a at 532 nm. Second, the polarization changes in the same sample depended on exposure time. Thus in sample 8a at 633 nm the linear polarization rotation by 90° was observed after 60 seconds of exposure, and the formation of elliptically polarized diffracted beams was observed after 60 minutes. The ellipticity was 6.6 in the +1st order, and the ellipse was turned by about 8° clockwise with respect to the readout linearly polarized beam. The different ellipticity of 1.2 without rotation was observed in the -1st diffraction order. Similiar behaviour was observed also in other cases.

The marked polarization dependence of holographic recording in the studied samples and polarization changes in the process of diffraction show that azobenzene molecular glasses, generally speaking, become anisotropic and gyrotropic under the influence of polarized light. On the nanometre level, such photoinduced processes can be considered as a reorientation of molecular electric dipole transition moments perpendicularly to the light electric field vector and as a creation of anisotropic coherent photoinduced dipoles [11]. Clearly, these processes are far from being understood.

The possibility of coherent self-enhancement of holographic gratings was studied in all cases. However, usually only optical erasure of holographic gratings with one of the recording beams took place. The only exception was sample 8a exhibiting coherent selfenhancement with s-p polarizations at 633 nm when read out by the s-beam, and with s-p (when read out by p-beam), CE-1, and CE-2 polarizations at 532 nm. In the case of circular-elliptic polarizations the readout was made by the circularly polarized beam. It should be also noted that in these cases (except CE-2) the diffracted light beams expanded forming concentric ellipses. Evidently, this beam expansion is due to photoinduced lenses caused by Gaussian beams in photosensitive media. As far as we know, the effect of light polarization on coherent self-enhancement is studied for the first time.

In our opinion, the obtained results provide the evidence that *trans-cis* photoisomerization is the main recording mechanism at both 532 and 633 nm. Indeed, photoinduced mass transfer takes place, and it increases when the recording wavelength approaches the *trans* form absorption maxima at 507 or 510 nm. Efficiency of p-polarization is the fingerprint of light electric field gradient mechanism for photoinduced mass transfer when the viscosity of the film is decreased by *transcis* photoisomerization [4]. The density of the light electric field (E) gradient force [4] in the case when E magnitude (neglecting the Gaussian beam shape) is varying only along the x axis perpendicular to interference fringes is

$$\boldsymbol{f} = \left\langle \varepsilon_0 \chi \, E_x \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{E}}{\partial x} \right\rangle \tag{1}$$

(where ε_0 is the vacuum permittivity, χ is the optically induced linear susceptibility change, brackets denote the time average). It is null when $E_x = 0$, i.e., for the s-s polarized beam recording, and it is maximal for p-p-polarized recording in our experiments. Thus more efficient recording by p–p beams is the evidence of *trans–cis* transformations. However, calculations and additional holographic grating relaxation measurements have shown that volume gratings not surface relief gratings give the main contribution to DE and SDE. Difference in efficiency of CE-1 and CE-2 polarizations testifies in the favour of reorientation of molecular dipoles. The fact that recording wavelength should be close enough to the maximum of *trans* form also is an indication of *trans–cis* mechanism.

Other holographic recording mechanisms such as chromophore photodegradation [6, 7] and photoorientation accompanied by coordinated alignment of adjacent backbone segments and recharging of acceptor states also contribute. This follows from the fact that the most efficient recording beam polarizations are different at 532 and 633 nm and that surface modulation is possible also by s-light. Even so, further studies are necessary firmly to establish the fact of red *trans-cis* photoisomerization.

The high enough SDE and DE of studied samples allowing stable recording of polarization holograms enable the production of polarization holographic optical elements. They can be applied also for permanent optical information recording with polarization multiplexing. Nevertheless, in these cases further optimization of these materials is necessary.

4. Conclusions

The recording light polarization dependence as well as polarization changes in the diffraction process are experimentally studied in three samples of azobenzene molecular glassy films of different chemical compositions **8a**, **11**, and **16** at 633 and 532 nm. From the point of view of recording efficiency, chemically simpler samples **8a** and **11** were the best at 633 and sample **8a** was the best at 532 nm. SDE up to 45% was achieved in sample **8a** at 633 nm with p–p polarizations. Linear p–p polarizations were the most efficient at 633 nm whereas circular–elliptic polarizations CE-1 were the most efficient at 532 nm.

Polarization holographic gratings have been recorded by s-p polarizations in samples **8a** and **11** at 633 nm, and in samples **8a** and **16** at 532 nm. Vector holograms with SDE up to 25% were recorded in **8a** at 633 nm rotating the polarization of diffracted beams by 90°. No rotation took place at 532 nm in the same sample. Generally speaking, it was found that light polarization changes depended on sample chemical composition, wavelength, and exposure time. Holographic recording was more efficient at 633 nm yet the photoinduced thickness modulation was larger at 532 nm.

Mainly erasure took place when reading the holograms with one recording beam. Coherent selfenhancement was observed only in sample **8a** in the case of s–p, CE-1, and CE-2 polarizations at 532 nm, and in the case of s–p polarizations at 633 nm.

Polarization and spectral dependences of holographic recording in the studied samples as well as AFM results have provided the evidence for the *trans-cis* photoisomerization based recording mechanism at both 532 and 633 nm. Other recording mechanisms such as chromophore photodegradation and photoorientation of chromophores accompanied by coordinated alignment of adjacent backbone segments and recharging of acceptor states can also contribute.

Studied azobenzene molecular glassy films can be used for production of polarization holographic optical elements and for permanent optical information recording with polarization multiplexing.

References

- L. Nikolova and P.S. Ramanujam, *Polarization Holog*raphy (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, New York, etc., 2009).
- [2] K. Schwartz, *The Physics of Optical Recording* (Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1993).
- [3] S. Miyata and H. Sasabe, Light Wave Manipulation Using Organic Nonlinear Optical Materials (Taylor & Francis, London, 2002).
- [4] Z. Sekkat and W. Knoll, *Photoreactive Organic Thin Films* (Elsevier Science, USA, 2002).
- [5] P.H. Rasmussen, P.S. Ramanujam, S. Hvilsted, and R.H. Berg, A remarkably efficient azobenzene peptide for holographic information storage, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 121, 4738–4743 (1999).
- [6] Ch. Kempe, M. Rulloh, and J. Stumpe, Photoorientation of azobenzene side chain polymers parallel or perpendicular to the polarization of red HeNe light, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 15, S813–S823 (2003).
- [7] A. Ozols, D. Saharov, V. Kokars, V. Kampars, A. Maleckis, G. Mezinskis, and A. Pludons, in: 16th International Conference on Defects in Insulating Materials, 24–29 August 2008, Aracaju, SE, Brazil, Book of Abstracts, AO21.
- [8] D. Saharov, A. Ozols, V. Kokars, V. Kampars, G. Mezinskis, A. Maleckis, A. Pludons, and M. Rutkis, The *trans-cis* photoisomerization possibility of stilbene azocompounds by red light of He-Ne laser, Sci. Proc. Riga Technical University, Ser. Mater. Sci. Appl. Chem. **18**, 26–34 (2008)

- [9] A. Ozols, V. Kokars, P. Augustovs, K. Traskovskis, A. Maleckis, G. Mezinskis, A. Pludons, and D. Saharov, Green and red laser holographic recording in different glassy azocompounds, Opt. Mater. (2010) [accepted].
- [10] A. Ozols, D. Saharov, V. Kokars, V. Kampars, A. Maleckis, G. Mezinskis, and A. Pludons, Holo-

graphic recording of surface relief gratings in stilbene azobenzene derivatives at 633 nm, J. Phys. Conf. (2009) [accepted].

[11] D.I. Blokhintsev, Foundations of Quantum Mechanics, 5th ed. (Nauka, Moscow, 1976) [Osnovy kvantovoi mekhaniki, in Russian].

HOLOGRAFINIO ĮRAŠYMO STIKLIŠKUOSE AZOJUNGINIUOSE POLIARIZACINĖ PRIKLAUSOMYBĖ

A. Ozols, V. Kokars, P. Augustovs, I. Uiska, K. Traskovskis, G. Mezinskis, A. Pludons, D. Saharov

Latvijos technikos universitetas, Ryga, Latvija

Santrauka

Eksperimentiškai tirta holografinių gardelių įrašymo priklausomybė nuo poliarizacijos stikliškose molekulinėse azobenzenų plėvelėse **8a**, **11** ir **16**, naudojant 633 ir 532 nm šviesos pluoštus, kurių poliarizacijos buvo s–s, p–p ir apskritiminė–elipsinė CE-1 bei CE-2 (skiriasi šviesos elektrinio lauko sukimosi kryptimi). Paprasčiausios cheminės sandaros bandiniai **8a** ir **11** buvo labiausiai efektyvūs esant 633 nm spinduliuotei, o bandinys **16** – 532 nm spinduliuotei. Naudojant p–p poliarizuotus įrašančiuosius 633 nm pluoštus, bandinyje **8a** pasiektas 45% savidifrakcijos efektyvumas (SDE). Tiesinės p–p poliarizacijos buvo efektyviausios esant 633 nm, o CE-1 poliarizacijos labiausiai tiko esant 532 nm. Pastebėta, kad šviesos poliarizacijos pokyčiai vykstant difrakcijai priklauso nuo cheminės sudėties, bangos ilgio ir ekspozicijos trukmės. Vektorinės gardelės, kurių SDE siekė 25%, įrašytos bandinyje **8a** tiesinę poliarizaciją pasukus 90°. Naudojant vieną pluoštą, daugiausia vyko gardelių ištrynimas. Koherentinis gardelių savistiprinimas pastebėtas bandinyje **8a**: esant s–p bei abiem CE poliarizacijoms, kai bangos ilgis buvo 532 nm, ir s–p poliarizacijų atveju, kai bangos ilgis buvo 633 nm. Taip pat atlikti atominės jėgos mikroskopiniai matavimai. Aptikta *trans–cis* fotoizomerizacijos holografinio įrašymo mechanizmo požymių esant ir 532, ir 633 nm spinduliuotei. Tirtas plėveles galima taikyti poliarizacijos holografinių optinių elementų gamybai ir ilgalaikiam optiniam informacijos įrašymui.