The spatial pattern of forest cover changes in Lithuania during the second half of the twentieth century
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The trends of forest cover change in Lithuanian municipalities are introduced in the current paper. Two sources of information on the forest cover in 1950s and today (2013) were used in this study: (i) a geographic forest cover database developed using historical orthophotomaps based on aerial photography, which was carried out in the period just after the World War II, and (ii) the information originating from the State Forest Cadaster and referring to the year 2013. These two layers were compared using GIS overlay techniques. The data was made available for the analyses aggregated up to the municipality level. The Global Moran’s I statistic and Anselin Local Moran’s I were used to identify global and local patterns in the distribution of forest cover characteristics in Lithuanian municipalities, respectively. The main finding of this study was that the proportion of the forest cover in 1950 was 26.5%, i.e. notably differing from the official statistics – 19.7%. The proportion of the forest cover increased in all municipalities during the period 1950–2013. The largest increase in forest cover proportion was in the areas less suitable for agriculture. The relatively largest areas of new forests were identified in the south-eastern part of Lithuania, the deforestation was relatively slowest around less forested municipalities, while the afforestation was relatively slowest around the agricultural Pakruojis municipality. Deforestation was most commonly associated with the forest transformation into agricultural land, less often into scrublands or waters.
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INTRODUCTION

There are practically no documented facts on the forest cover in Lithuania before the middle of 18th century, except a rough description by G. Valavičius in 1559 of some wood lots used for game management. More reliable information on total forest covers becomes available only in the second half of 18th century as an outcome of special mapping projects and the general land delineation project started in 1766 (Pauliukevičius, Kenstavičius, 1995). P. Matulionis (1930) suggested forests could cover around 56% of the Lithuanian territory a millennium ago, with the tendency to decrease significantly over the ages: 54% in 1 200, 45% in 1 400, 44% in 1 600, 37% in 1 800 and 24% in 1 900. The forest cover decrease was associated with the population increase in Lithuania, which was around 6–8 per 1 km² in the 10th century, 15 in the 13th century, 22 in the 17th century and currently it is 47.

Systematic and scientifically sound information on Lithuanian forests became available only in the beginning of 20th century. The official forestry statistics from 1937 identified the forest cover in Lithuania to be 16.7%, excluding the Vilnius region. A. Brukas (1987) estimated that the forest cover throughout the whole current Lithuanian
The forest area increase during 1948–1969 is, first of all, explained by the processes of land transfer to the State Forest Fund, large scale afforestation projects as well as natural transformation of other land covers into the forest (Kennstavičius, Brukas, 2003). Such processes got more stable after permanent fields of improved agricultural land were established. After the restoration of Lithuanian Independence in 1990 and during the period of the land reform, the forest area started rapidly to increase due to extensive farming and economic stimulation measures that were applied. There are around 2,000 ha of new forests established on non-forest areas annually (Lietuvos miškų..., 2012a). Additionally, around 5,000–6,000 ha of other land cover types naturally get transformed into the forest each year, too (Mizaraite et al., 2010).

However, even if there are sources on the dynamic of forest cover in Lithuania, they are quite difficult to compare. Forest inventories and forest accounting were usually based on somewhat different methods, forest definition as such, territory under focus, especially as it regards forest cover statistics going back to the middle of XX century (Kennstavičius, Brukas, 2003). Forest resource statistics are based on the information originating from stand records with limited information on spatial allocation of forest. Some forests were not accounted at all. For example, the GIS database of Lithuanian forest resources at a scale of 1:150,000 revealed that the actual forest cover in 1990s exceeded the officially declared area by 150,000 ha (Kasperavicius et al., 2000). Thus, it is rather difficult to rely on historical records, especially if aiming to study the spatial patterns of forest cover dynamics and relate them with social, economic, political, demographic and other factors. Historical remote sensing data is a powerful solution to travel in the time and to get information on the dynamics of forest cover, reducing the potential bias of conventional sources.

The forest cover database representing Lithuanian forest in 1950s, developed within the frames of the project “Assessment of Forest Changes in Lithuania during 1990–2011”, financed by the Ministry of Environment (Lietuvos miškų..., 2012a) has a great potential to discuss in details the forest cover change statistics in Lithuania during the 2nd half of XX century, assess the spatial pattern of changes and relate them with factors potentially influencing the land cover and land use dynamics. Such information is expected to question the official Lithuanian forestry statistics and beliefs of forestry professionals on the afforestation trends during the period after WWII.

The aim of the current paper is to discuss the changes of the forest cover in Lithuania after the WWII. We compare two GIS databases representing the forest cover at a nominal scale of 1:10,000 and referring to two dates – 1950 and 2013. Previous studies on the forest cover dynamics in Lithuania were based on manipulation of aggregated statistics for certain regions of interest. Introduction of geographic information systems (GIS) provides researchers with more powerful tools to disclose the development of phenomena under focus (Longley et al., 2005a; Longley et al., 2005b). In Lithuania, there are very few attempts to use GIS as a tool in the forest pattern analysis. For example, Kucas et al. (2011) applied a multi-scale analysis of forest fragmentation in Lithuania to demonstrate the technique as such. Lazdinis et al. (2005) suggested an alternative – the average shortest distance to the closest forest – to forest cover percentage, better describing the spatial distribution of forested habitats for birds. Here, methods of spatial statistics are used to disclose the global and local patterns of occurred changes. The focus is on the forest cover dynamics aggregated up to the level of Lithuanian municipalities.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two GIS data sources were used to represent the forest cover:

1. The Forest Compartment GIS Database from the State Forest Cadastre, available from the State Forest Service, representing the forest status on Jan 1, 2013, originates from stand-wise forest inventories and is developed at a nominal mapping scale of 1:10,000. Borders of forest compartments in spatially contiguous forest tracts were eliminated to have a single feature representing the forest.
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2. The GIS Database representing the forest cover in 1950s at a nominal scale of 1:10 000. This database was developed using historical orthophotomaps based on aerial photography carried out in the period just after the World War II. The orthophotomaps were scanned and georeferenced to the Lithuanian Map System. The forest cover was digitized using the manual on-screen digitalization technique and stored in a GIS format. The database was developed within the frames of the project “Assessment of Forest Land Cover Changes in Lithuania during the Period 1990–2011”, ordered by the State Forest Service (Lietuvos miškų..., 2012a).

There were also data available from the Land Information System (LIS) – the Land Parcel Block Database (www.geoportal.lt) and borders of municipalities (www.eurogeographics.org) used for the study.

Polygon feature classes representing the forest in 1950 and 2013, the land parcel blocks and the borders of municipalities were overlaid using the conventional GIS Identity Function with the borders of municipalities as the input feature class and all other layers as the identity feature classes. Then, simple database queries and area summarizing were applied to determine for each municipality the following characteristics related to forest cover change:

- Area of forest in 1950 and 2013 by municipalities.
- Forest cover changes during the investigated period by municipalities, i. e. area covered by forest in 1950 and 2013, forest in 1950, but non-forest in 2013 and non-forest in 1950, but forest in 2013.
- For the areas which lost the forest cover since 1950, the current land cover type was identified using the LIS data. This information is available for the analyses summarized by municipalities, too.

All the above mentioned information was stored in a single database. Choropleth maps were developed to illustrate the characteristics of forest cover dynamics by municipalities. The Global Moran’s I statistic was calculated to assess the level of spatial autocorrelation of characteristics being analysed. The Spatial Autocorrelation (Moran’s I) tool available in the ArcGIS software with conceptualization of spatial relationships based on the “Edge-corners” contiguity was applied (Getis, Ord, 1992; Mitchel, 2005). The Cluster and Outlier Analysis (Anselin Local Moran’s I – Anselin, 1995; Mitchel, 2005) was used to identify hot spots, cold spots, and spatial outliers in the distribution of forest cover characteristics in Lithuanian municipalities. The ArcGIS software was used to perform all data processing, analysis and representations.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The total area of forest cover, as it was identified in the Forest Cover GIS Database 1950, was 1 733 thousand ha, resulting in the forest area proportion of 26.5%. This figure exceeds the official statistics and assumptions by forest management (Lietuvos miškų..., 2012a). For example, the forest area deducted by Kenstavičius and Brukas (2003) could be around 22.3% just after the World War II. Accepting some potential differences in the forest cover definition used to account the forest officially and in the current study, we may consider our evaluation as an indication of true forest cover at the beginning of the investigation period. The official statistics suffered from the lack of data (lost, not available, etc.), thus it potentially involved omission errors. On the other hand, our figure may contain some commission error or overestimation due to considering non-forest areas with woody vegetation as the forest. However, the estimation for the 1950s was based on the same methodological approach as the one used to get the estimates for the year 2013 – i. e. the same forest definition, interpretation of orthophotos using similar techniques, utilization of data within the frames of the same project (Lietuvos miškų..., 2012a). The total forest area increased by 21% to reach 2 195 thousand ha nowadays. The proportion of the forest cover area increased in all municipalities. The changes of the forest area proportion by municipalities exhibit a spatial pattern (Fig. 1) – the pattern of the forest cover dynamics is statistically significantly clustered – the Global Moran’s I Statistic equals 0.184 (Z = 2.689, p = 0.007). The global trend is quite well seen – the relatively largest increase in the forest cover proportion was in the areas less suitable for agriculture, especially in hilly landscapes of Žemaitija and Aukštaitija. The pattern of the forest cover proportion in municipalities was not significantly different than
Three municipalities in southern Lithuania – Druskininkų, Varėnos and Šalčininkų – were identified as statistically significant High-High Clusters both in 1950 and 2013, i. e. indicating the features having a high value and being surrounded by features with high values (Fig. 2). The area currently belonging to the Kalvarijos municipality remained the whole period Low-Low cluster, i. e. it had a low forest cover proportion and was surrounded by other municipalities with low forest area proportions. Kazlų Rūda could be considered as a spatial outlier – it was surrounded by municipalities with low forest area proportions while having a high value itself. Hot spots, indicating the clusters with the fastest rate of forest proportion increase, were Kalvarija and Utena municipalities. The relatively slowest increase in the forest cover area proportion was around Joniškis, Pakruojis, Kėdainiai and Kaunas municipalities, i. e. in the central part of the country with increased focus on agriculture, significant areas of land reclamation.

Even the forest area in Lithuanian municipalities tended to increase, there were several trends in the forest cover changes – the available forest was converted into other land cover types (11.3%) and other land cover types were turning into the forest (37%). A majority of forests remained the forests in 2013 (on average 88.7%). Spatial patterns of new forest areas and the deforestation in general tend to follow the trends observed analysing the total change of the forest area in the municipalities (Fig. 3). Municipalities with relatively more favourable
conditions for agriculture seem to be negatively associated with the appearance of new forest, while the municipalities with worse conditions for agriculture exhibited stronger afforestation trends. A statistically significant global spatial autocorrelation was observed for both the area percentage of new and lost forest – respectively, $I = 0.2574$, $Z = 3.578$, $p = 0.0003$ and $I = 0.206$, $Z = 2.706$, $p = 0.007$. The area percentage indicates the share of new/lost forest compared to the whole area of municipality.

A cluster of municipalities with the relatively largest areas of new forests was identified in the south-eastern part of Lithuania (Fig. 4a), however, the Šalčininkai municipality simultaneously was found to be associated with relatively large amounts of deforestation, too (Fig. 4b). Deforestation was relatively slowest around less forested municipalities (Vilkaviškis and Marijampolė), while the afforestation was relatively slowest around the agricultural Pakruojis municipality.

Most often the forest cover was converted into agricultural land – 61.7% of deforested areas (Fig. 5). 24.8% of lost forest is currently identified as scrubland, 7.2% of the forest cover was inundated, 4.5% was built-up, 1.41% converted into roads and 0.27% became orchards.

The main finding of our study is that we estimated the proportion of the forest cover in 1950 to be 26.5%, and differing from the figure available in all official statistics – 19.7% (Lietuvos miškų..., 2012a). The last figure is based on the information from stand-wise forest inventories, and we believe that a lot of small forests, formerly owned by farmers, have never been inventoried nor accounted to be included in forest cover statistics.
Even the afforestation seems to be the prevailing trend shaping the forest cover changes in Lithuania, a significant part of forest has been converted into other land cover types. More in-depth analysis of factors beyond the forest cover dynamics is left outside of the current publication.

CONCLUSIONS

1. The proportion of the forest cover in Lithuania increased from 26.5% in 1950s by 21% to reach 2195 thousand ha nowadays. The forest cover proportion in 1950s found in the current study exceeds the official statistics (~19.7%).

2. The proportion of the forest cover area increased in all municipalities during the period 1950–2013. The largest increase in the forest cover proportion was in the areas less suitable for agriculture, especially in hilly landscapes of Žemaitija and Aukštaitija.

3. The fastest rate of the forest cover proportion increase was concentrated around Kalvarija and Utena municipalities, while the relatively slowest increase of the forest cover proportion was around Joniškis, Pakruojis, Kėdainiai and Kaunas municipalities.

4. The relatively largest areas of new forests were identified in the south-eastern part of Lithuania, with the Šalčininkai municipality simultaneously being associated with relatively large amounts of deforestation. Deforestation was relatively slowest around the agricultural Pakruojis municipality.

5. Deforestation was most commonly associated with the forest transformation into agricultural land, less often into scrublands or waters.
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MIŠKO DANGOS KAITOS ERDVINYS MARGINYS LIETUVOJE XX A. II PUSĖJE

S ant r a u k a

Straipsnyje pristatomos miškų dangos pokyčių tendencijos Lietuvos savivaldybėse. Atliekant tyrimus buvo remtasi dviem informacijos šaltiniais, apie miškų dangų 1950 m. ir 2013 m.: (i) geografinė miškų dangos baze, sukurtā nuo istorinius ortofotoplanus, paremtas aerofotografavimu, atliktu ką tik pasibaigus Antrajam pasauliniam karui ir (ii) informacija, gauta iš Valstybinio miškų kadastro, su nuoroda į 2013 metus. Abu sluoksniuose palyginti naudojant GIS perdangos metodus.
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